arXiv:1404.1961v1 [math.DG] 7 Apr 2014

Isotropic submanifolds and the inverse problem for mechanical constrained systems

María Barbero-Liñán*
Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid,
Avenida de la Universidad 30, 28911 Leganés, Madrid, Spain
and Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM)

Marta Farré Puiggalí †, David Martín de Diego‡
Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM)
C/Nicolás Cabrera 13-15, 28049 Madrid, Spain

March 31, 2016

Abstract

The inverse problem of the calculus of variations consists in determining if the solutions of a given system of second order differential equations correspond with the solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations for some regular Lagrangian. This problem in the general version remains unsolved. Here, we contribute to it with a novel description in terms of Lagrangian submanifolds of a symplectic manifold, also valid under some adaptation for the non-autonomous version. One of the advantages of this new point of view is that we can easily extend our description to the study of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations for second order systems along submanifolds. In this case, instead of Lagrangian submanifolds we will use isotropic submanifolds, covering both the nonholonomic and holonomic constraints for autonomous and non-autonomous systems as particular examples. Moreover, we use symplectic techniques to extend these isotropic submanifolds to Lagrangian ones, allowing us to describe the constrained solutions as solutions of a variational problem now without constraints. Mechanical examples such as the rolling disk are provided to illustrate the main results.

Keywords: Inverse problem, Lagrangian and isotropic submanifolds, constrained variational calculus, nonholonomic systems, hamiltonization

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49N45; 70F25; 58E30; 53D12

*mbarbero@math.uc3m.es
†marta.farre@icmat.es
‡david.martin@icmat.es

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 Geometric preliminaries **4**

2.1 Isotropic and Lagrangian submanifolds 4

2.2 Second order differential equations 7

3 Lagrangian mechanics **7**

3.1 Constrained Lagrangian mechanics: nonholonomic systems 8

3.1.1 Nonholonomic Chaplygin systems 9

3.2 Variational constrained equations 11

3.3 Lagrangian mechanics using the Tulczyjew's triple 11

4 The inverse problem of the calculus of variations **12**

4.1 A new geometric characterization for the inverse of the calculus of variations 13

4.2 Chaplygin hamiltonization 16

4.3 A new geometric characterization for the time-dependent inverse problem 16

5 The inverse problem for constrained systems **20**

6 The inverse problem for holonomic constraints **28**

7 Time-dependent inverse problem for constrained systems **31**

8 Conclusions and future developments **34**

A Appendix **34**

1 Introduction

The inverse problem of the calculus of variations studies when a given system of second order ordinary differential equations (SODE)

$$\ddot{q}^i = \Gamma^i(t, q^j, \dot{q}^j), \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n$$

is related to Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = 0,$$

for a regular Lagrangian to be determined. To prove the equivalence of these two systems is the same as to find a non-singular matrix (g_{ij}) such that the following system is satisfied

$$g_{ij}(\ddot{q}^j - \Gamma^j(t, q, \dot{q})) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}\,.$$

When such a matrix exists, the system of second order ordinary differential equations is called *variational*. In 1886 Sonin [35] proved that a single second order ordinary differential equation is always variational. This problem was also studied in 1887 by Helmholtz [42] for general systems of second order ordinary differential equations in implicit form.

History has shown this is an extremely difficult problem because only the full solution for at most two dimensional systems of second order ordinary differential equations is known [16]. Douglas' solution consists of an exhaustive classification in different cases using Riquier-Janet theory. Variational and nonvariational SODE's are included in his work. The techniques used by Douglas turned out to be very difficult to generalize to higher dimension.

Since 1980, the inverse problem has been considered by many authors [10, 22, 30, 33, 36] giving a geometric interpretation of Douglas' classification and generalizing some of the results to higher dimensions. In particular, a free coordinate characterization of the inverse problem is given in [13]. As a result, it has been proved that cases I and IIa1 in Douglas [16] are always variational for arbitrary dimension [34] and [11], respectively. Case I was also proven by [2] and [20] using different approaches. Other extensions of the inverse problem include partial differential equations [3], field theory [23], nonholonomic mechanics [32], driven SODE's [24], jet bundles [25], etc.

In our paper we will follow a symplectic approach working with Lagrangian submanifolds of symplectic manifolds [43] associated to the geometry of the tangent bundle, which is the space where a SODE is geometrically defined. In terms of the closedness of a suitable 1-form, constructed from the given SODE and a transformation between the tangent bundle and its dual, the cotangent bundle, we provide a new characterization of a variational second order differential equation. The use of other distinguished submanifolds of symplectic manifolds, isotropic submanifolds, turns out to be suitable to characterize the inverse problem for constrained variational calculus. Moreover, using a standard construction in symplectic geometry we can extend these isotropic submanifolds to Lagrangian ones, allowing us to describe the constrained solutions as solutions of a variational problem now without constraints such that the solutions of the new variational problem with initial conditions verifying the constraints are precisely real solutions of the original constrained system. Our techniques are also related to classical results about the comparison of solutions of nonholonomic systems and constrained variational problems (see [5, 17, 8] and references therein).

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains all the basic background on symplectic manifolds and tangent bundle geometry necessary for this work (see also [21, 26, 37, 38]). In Section 3 we introduce some relevant examples of constrained Lagrangian systems: nonholonomic systems and constrained variational systems. In Section 4 we briefly describe the inverse problem of the calculus of variations from the geometric approach given in [10]. Then the new geometric characterization of the inverse problem is introduced: a system of second order differential equations

on a manifold Q is variational if it can be associated to a Lagrangian submanifold of the symplectic manifold (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}), where ω_{TQ} is the natural symplectic structure of T^*TQ. We relate our results to the so-called Chaplygin hamiltonization for a special type of nonholonomic system [6]. The time-dependent case is also included by using the notion of Lagrangian submanifold of a Poisson manifold [41]. The problem for constrained variational calculus, in particular, the nonholonomic mechanics, is described in Section 5 by linking the notion of being variational to isotropic submanifolds of (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}). The rolling disk is considered as an example and regular and singular Lagrangians associated to it are given. Section 6 focuses on holonomic dynamics where the system evolves on a submanifold TN of TQ. In some cases it is easier to study the problem in the manifold with greater dimension, instead of working on TN as if there were no constraints. With this geometric approach the typical Lagrangian functions considered when there are constraints on Q are recovered. The time-dependent case for constrained variational calculus is also characterized by using the notion of isotropic submanifolds of Poisson manifolds [41] in Section 7. Finally, some future research lines are discussed. Appendix A carefully shows in local coordinates the equivalence between the geometric Helmholtz conditions in [10] and the conditions in our paper.

2 Geometric preliminaries

In this section we briefly introduce all the definitions and results from differential geometry, in particular symplectic geometry, that are necessary in the sequel. More details can be found in [1] and [26].

In this paper, TQ and T^*Q are the tangent and cotangent bundle of a manifold Q, respectively. The set of vector fields on Q is denoted by $\mathfrak{X}(Q)$ and the set of k-forms on Q is denoted by $\Lambda^k(Q)$.

2.1 Isotropic and Lagrangian submanifolds

The two main elements in this work are introduced here: Lagrangian and isotropic submanifolds. The former are the extension to manifolds of the notion of Lagrangian subspaces of symplectic vector spaces [43].

Let us recall that a symplectic vector space is a pair (E, Ω) where E is a vector space and $\Omega\colon E \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ is a skew-symmetric bilinear map of maximal rank. See [21, 15, 26, 43] for more details.

Definition 2.1. Let (E, Ω) be a symplectic vector space and $F \subset E$ a subspace. The **Ω-orthogonal complement of** F is the subspace defined by

$$F^{\perp} = \{e \in E \mid \Omega(e, e') = 0 \text{ for all } e' \in F\}.$$

The subspace F is said to be

(i) **isotropic** if $F \subseteq F^{\perp}$, that is, $\Omega(e, e') = 0$ for all $e, e' \in F$.

(ii) **Lagrangian** if F is isotropic and has an isotropic complement, that is, $E = F \oplus F'$, where F' is isotropic.

A well-known characterization of Lagrangian subspaces of finite dimensional symplectic vector spaces is summarized in the following result:

Proposition 2.2. *Let (E, Ω) be a finite dimensional symplectic vector space and $F \subset E$ a subspace. The following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) F is Lagrangian,

(ii) $F = F^{\perp}$,

(iii) F *is isotropic and* $\dim F = \frac{1}{2}\dim E$.

As a consequence, we can characterize a Lagrangian subspace F of (E, Ω) by checking if it has half the dimension of E and if the restriction of Ω to F vanishes, that is, $\Omega_{|F} = 0$.

A symplectic manifold (M, ω) is defined by a differentiable manifold M and a non-degenerate closed 2-form ω on M. Therefore, for each $x \in M$, (T_xM, ω_x) is a symplectic vector space. A symplectic manifold has even dimension.

The notion of Lagrangian subspace can be transferred to submanifolds by requiring that the tangent space of the submanifold is a Lagrangian subspace for every point in the submanifold of a symplectic manifold.

Definition 2.3. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold, $\mathrm{i}\colon N \to M$ be an immersion and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}(x)}\colon T_xN \to T_{\mathrm{i}(x)}M$ be the tangent map of i. It is said that N is an **isotropic immersed submanifold** of (M, ω) if $(\mathrm{T}_x\mathrm{i})(\mathrm{T}_xN) \subset \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}(x)}M$ is an isotropic subspace for each $x \in N$. A submanifold $N \subset M$ is called **Lagrangian** if it is isotropic and there is an isotropic subbundle $P \subset TM|_N$ such that $TM|_N = TN \oplus P$.

Note that $\mathrm{i}\colon N \to M$ is isotropic if and only if $\mathrm{i}^*\omega = 0$, that is, $\omega(\mathrm{T}_x\mathrm{i}(v_x), \mathrm{T}_x\mathrm{i}(u_x)) = 0$ for every $u_x, v_x \in T_xN$ and for every $x \in N$.

The canonical model of symplectic manifold is the cotangent bundle T^*Q of an arbitrary manifold Q which is the dual bundle of $\tau_Q : TQ \to Q$. Denote by $\pi_Q\colon T^*Q \to Q$ the canonical projection and define a canonical 1-form θ_Q on T^*Q by

$$(\theta_Q)_{\alpha_q}(X_{\alpha_q}) = \langle \alpha_q, \mathrm{T}_{\alpha_q}\pi_Q(X_{\alpha_q})\rangle, \tag{1}$$

where $X_{\alpha_q} \in T_{\alpha_q}T^*Q$, $\alpha_q \in T^*Q$ and $q \in Q$. If we consider bundle coordinates (q^i, p_i) on T^*Q such that $\pi_Q(q^i, p_i) = q^i$, then

$$\theta_Q = p_i \mathrm{d}q^i\,.$$

The 2-form $\omega_Q = -\mathrm{d}\theta_Q$ is a symplectic form on T^*Q with local expression

$$\omega_Q = \mathrm{d}q^i \wedge \mathrm{d}p_i.$$

The Darboux theorem states that this is the local model for an arbitrary symplectic manifold (M, ω). In other words, there always exist local coordinates (q^i, p_i) in a neighbourhood of each point in M such that $\omega = \mathrm{d}q^i \wedge \mathrm{d}p_i$.

Note that the canonical 1-form θ_Q verifies that $\gamma^*(\theta_Q) = \gamma$ for an arbitrary 1-form γ on Q. Hence $\gamma^*(\omega_Q) = -\mathrm{d}\gamma$.

A relevant example of a Lagrangian submanifold of the cotangent bundle is the following one.

Proposition 2.4 ([26])**.** *Let γ be a 1-form on Q and $\mathcal{L} = Im\,\gamma \subset T^*Q$. The submanifold $\mathcal{L}$ of T^*Q is Lagrangian if and only if γ is closed.*

The result follows because $\dim \mathcal{L} = \dim Q$ and $\gamma^*(\omega_Q) = -\mathrm{d}\gamma$.

A useful extension of the previous construction is the following one

Proposition 2.5 ([21])**.** *Let $i : N \longrightarrow TQ$ be an immersion. For each Lagrangian submanifold $S \subset T^*N$ we can define a Lagrangian submanifold $\tilde{S} \subset T^*TQ$ by $\tilde{S} = \{\mu \in T^*TQ : i^*\mu \in S\}$.*

In the above proposition, if N is a submanifold and $S = \mathrm{Im}(df)$ for some $f : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then we recover the following result:

Theorem 2.6 ([37],[38]). *Let Q be a smooth manifold, $\tau_Q : TQ \to Q$ its tangent bundle projection, $N \subset Q$ a submanifold, and $f\colon N \to \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\Sigma_f = \big\{p \in T^*Q \mid \pi_Q(p) \in N \text{ and } \langle p, v\rangle = \langle \mathrm{d}f, v\rangle \\ \text{for all } v \in TN \subset TQ \text{ such that } \tau_Q(v) = \pi_Q(p)\big\}$$

is a Lagrangian submanifold of T^*Q.

Given a symplectic manifold (M,ω), $\dim M = 2n$, it is well-known that its tangent bundle TM is equipped with a symplectic structure denoted by $\mathrm{d}_T\omega$, where $\mathrm{d}_T\omega$ denotes the tangent lift of ω to TM. If we take Darboux coordinates (q^i, p_i) on M, that is, $\omega = \mathrm{d}q^i \wedge \mathrm{d}p_i$, then $\mathrm{d}_T\omega = \mathrm{d}\dot{q}^i \wedge \mathrm{d}p_i + \mathrm{d}q^i \wedge \mathrm{d}\dot{p}_i$, where $(q^i, p_i, \dot{q}^i, \dot{p}_i)$ are the induced coordinates on TM. We will denote the bundle coordinates on T^*M by (q^i, p_i, a_i, b^i), then $\omega_M = \mathrm{d}q^i \wedge \mathrm{d}a_i + \mathrm{d}p_i \wedge \mathrm{d}b^i$. If we denote by $\flat_\omega : TM \to T^*M$ the isomorphism defined by ω, that is, $\flat_\omega(v) = \mathrm{i}_v\,\omega$, then we have $\flat_\omega(q^i, p_i, \dot{q}^i, \dot{p}_i) = (q^i, p_i, -\dot{p}_i, \dot{q}^i)$. This isomorphim plays an important role on the description of the dynamics of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian systems as summarized in Section 3.3 (more details can be found in [37]).

Given a function $H : M \to \mathbb{R}$, and its associated Hamiltonian vector field X_H, that is, $\mathrm{i}_{X_H}\omega = \mathrm{d}H$, then the image of X_H, $\mathrm{Im}(X_H)$, is a Lagrangian submanifold of $(TM, \mathrm{d}_T\omega)$.

The following construction can be found in [40] and will be useful in Section 4. Assume we have a submanifold N of a symplectic manifold (M,ω) such that for a neighborhood U_p of a point p in M we can write

$$U_p \cap N = \{x \in M \mid \phi_1(x) = 0, \dots, \phi_k(x) = 0\}.$$

If we have an isotropic submanifold $N_0 \subset N$ with $p \in N_0$, $\dim(N_0) = \frac{\dim(N)-k}{2}$ and the Hamiltonian vector fields $X_{\phi_1}, \dots, X_{\phi_k}$ of $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k$ satisfy that

- $\exists\ \epsilon > 0$ such that the flows of X_{ϕ_i} are defined for all $|t| < \epsilon$,
- $X_{\phi_i}(p) \notin T_pN_0$, for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $p \in N_0$,
- $X_{\phi_i}(p)$ are linearly independent for all $p \in N_0$,

then we can extend it to a Lagrangian submanifold transporting N_0 along the flows of the Hamiltonian vector fields $X_{\phi_1}, \dots, X_{\phi_k}$.

We will illustrate the construction for the case $k = 1$ and rename ϕ_1 by ϕ. Since X_ϕ is transverse to N_0, there exists an open interval I about 0 in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\exp(tX_\phi(\tilde{p}))$ is defined for all $t \in I$ and $\tilde{p} \in N_0 \cap U_p$. Therefore the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} j: & N_0 \times I & \longrightarrow & M \\ & (\tilde{p}, t) & \longmapsto & \exp(tX_\phi(\tilde{p})) \end{array}$$

allows us to realize locally $N_0 \times I$ as a submanifold Z of M whose tangent space is

$$T_{\exp(tX_\phi(\tilde{p}))}Z = (\exp(tX_\phi))_*(T_{\tilde{p}}N_0) \oplus \mathrm{span}\{X_\phi(\exp(tX_\phi(\tilde{p})))\},$$

where $(\exp(tX_\phi))_*$ is the pushforward of $\exp(tX_\phi)$. Obviously $\dim Z = \dim N_0 + 1$ and Z is also isotropic because, first, for any two vectors in $(\exp(tX_\phi))_*(T_{\tilde{p}}N_0)$ we have that

$$\omega((\exp(tX_\phi))_*v_1, (\exp(tX_\phi))_*v_2) = ((\exp(tX_\phi))^*\omega)(v_1, v_2) = \omega(v_1, v_2) = 0$$

since $(\exp(tX_\phi))_*$ is a symplectomorphism and $v_1, v_2 \in T_{\tilde{p}}N_0$.

Second, it must be checked that the 2-form ω also vanishes for a vector in $(\exp(tX_\phi))_*(T_{\tilde{p}}N_0)$ and one in $X_\phi(\exp(tX_\phi(\tilde{p})))$. Note that

$$\omega((\exp(tX_\phi))_*v, X_\phi(\exp(tX_\phi(\tilde{p})))) = d\phi(\tilde{p})(v) = 0,$$

because ϕ vanishes on N_0 and $v \in T_{\tilde{p}}N_0$.

2.2 Second order differential equations

Consider the tangent bundle $\tau_Q : TQ \to Q$ where $(q^i, \dot{q}^i)$ are canonical coordinates on TQ and (q^i) on Q. In TQ we can define the following geometric objects: the *Liouville* or *dilation vector field* $\Delta \in \mathfrak{X}(TQ)$ and a type $(1,1)$ tensor field S called the *vertical endomorphism*. In canonical coordinates

$$\Delta = \dot{q}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \quad \text{and} \quad S = dq^i \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i}.$$

A *SODE* (second order differential equation) Γ is a vector field on TQ satisfying $S(\Gamma) = \Delta$. In coordinates,

$$\Gamma = \dot{q}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \Gamma^i(q, \dot{q}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i}.$$

The solutions of the SODE Γ are precisely the solutions of the system of second order differential equations

$$\frac{d^2 q^i}{dt^2} = \Gamma^i(q, \dot{q}).$$

As shown in the following section, SODE's are key elements to describe intrinsically Lagrangian mechanics.

3 Lagrangian mechanics

The calculus of variations can be defined geometrically by means of SODE's. Consider a curve $c : [a, b] \to Q$ of class C^2 connecting two fixed points in the configuration space Q. The set of all these curves is denoted by

$$\mathcal{C}^2(q_0, q_1, [a, b]) = \left\{ c : [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow Q \;\middle|\; c \in C^2,\ c(a) = q_0,\ c(b) = q_1 \right\}.$$

This set is a smooth infinite dimensional manifold. Its tangent space at c is given by

$$T_c\mathcal{C}^2(q_0, q_1, [a, b]) = \left\{ X : [a, b] \longrightarrow TQ \;\middle|\; X \in C^1, X(t) \in T_{c(t)}Q\ \forall t \in [a, b] \text{ and } X(a) = X(b) = 0, \right\}.$$

Now, let $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Lagrangian function and consider the action functional

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathcal{J} : & \mathcal{C}^2(q_0, q_1, [a, b]) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & c & \longmapsto & \int_a^b L(\dot{c}(t))\, dt. \end{array}$$

Definition 3.1. [Hamilton's principle] *A curve $c \in \mathcal{C}^2(q_0, q_1, [a, b])$ is a solution of the Lagrangian system given by $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ if and only if c is a critical point of $\mathcal{J}$, that is,*

$$d\mathcal{J}(c) = 0\,. \tag{2}$$

Using standard arguments from variational calculus, it is easy to show that the solutions of the Lagrangian system given by (2) are the solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations for the Lagrangian $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le n = \dim Q$$

where $(q^i, \dot{q}^i)$ are local coordinates for TQ.

Now we will derive intrinsically the Euler-Lagrange equations using the geometry of the tangent bundle. Given $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ we define the *Poincaré-Cartan 1-form* $\Theta_L = S^*(dL)$, the associated *Poincaré-Cartan 2-form* $\Omega_L = -d\Theta_L$ and the *energy function* $E_L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ by $E_L = \Delta(L) - L$. Locally,

$$\Theta_L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\, dq^i, \quad E_L = \dot{q}^i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} - L\,.$$

When the Lagrangian L is regular, that is, Ω_L is a symplectic 2-form, or locally when the $n \times n$-Hessian matrix $(\partial^2 L/\partial\dot{q}^i\partial\dot{q}^j)$ is regular, then there exists a unique SODE Γ_L solution of the equation

$$i_{\Gamma_L}\Omega_L = dE_L, \tag{3}$$

or alternatively

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_L}\Theta_L = dL, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_L}\Theta_L$ is the Lie derivative of Θ_L along Γ_L.

The integral curves of Γ_L are precisely the solutions to the Euler-Lagrange equations for L.

3.1 Constrained Lagrangian mechanics: nonholonomic systems

In this section, we will see one of the main examples where second order differential equations along submanifolds arise: the case of nonholonomic Lagrangian systems. To do so, we introduce constraints to a given Lagrangian system $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.2. *A nonholonomic Lagrangian system on a manifold Q consists of a pair (L, M) where $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Lagrangian function and M is a submanifold of TQ*

Let $\tau_Q : TQ \to Q$ be the canonical projection. In the sequel we will assume that $\tau_Q(M) = Q$ avoiding the existence of holonomic constraints (see Section 6 for more details). In mechanical and real examples, M is typically a vector subbundle D of τ_Q, that is, the constraints are linear on velocities; in other examples, M is an affine subbundle modelled on a vector bundle D. From now on, we assume that $M = D$ is a vector subbundle or an affine subbundle modelled on D.

The existence of the constraints prescribed by M induces the introduction of reaction forces which restrict the motion to M. This forces are determined by the Lagrange-d'Alembert principle.

Define the set of admissible curves by

$$\mathcal{C}^2_M(q_0, q_1, [a, b]) = \left\{ c : [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow Q \;\middle|\; c \in \mathcal{C}^2(q_0, q_1, [a, b]),\ \dot{c}(t) \in M_{c(t)}\ \forall t \in [a, b] \right\},$$

and the set of possible virtual variations along c by

$$\mathcal{V}_c = \left\{ X : [a, b] \longrightarrow TQ \;\middle|\; X \in C^1, X(t) \in D_{c(t)}\ \forall t \in [a, b] \text{ and } X(a) = X(b) = 0 \right\}.$$

Definition 3.3. [Lagrange-d'Alembert's principle] *Let $c \in \mathcal{C}^2_M(q_0, q_1, [a, b])$, then c is a solution of the nonholonomic Lagrangian system (L, M) if*

$$\langle d\mathcal{J}(c), X\rangle = 0, \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{V}_c.$$

Locally, if the submanifold M is determined by the vanishing of constraints $\phi^\alpha(q^i, \dot{q}^i) = 0$ (either linear or affine constraints), then the equations of motion of a nonholonomic Lagrangian system are:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} &= \lambda_\alpha \frac{\partial \phi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\,, \\ \phi^\alpha(q^i, \dot{q}^i) &= 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

If the constraints are written as $\phi^\alpha(q^i, \dot{q}^i) = \mu^\alpha_i(q)\dot{q}^i + \mu^\alpha_0(q)$, then the previous equations reduce to:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} &= \lambda_\alpha \mu^\alpha_i(q)\,, \\ \mu^\alpha_i(q)\dot{q}^i + \mu^\alpha_0(q) &= 0\,. \end{aligned}$$

If the Hessian matrix W of L with respect to the velocities is definite, then the matrix

$$\mathcal{C} = (C_{\alpha\beta}) \text{ with } C_{\alpha\beta} = \mu_i^\alpha W^{ij} \mu_j^\beta$$

is regular, where (W^{ij}) is the inverse of the Hessian matrix

$$W_{ij} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{q}^i \partial \dot{q}^j} \right) .$$

Observe that the definiteness condition is automatically satisfied in mechanics when $L = T - V$, being T the kinetic energy associated to a Riemannian metric on Q and being V the potential energy. It is easy to show that under this condition, we can write the equations of motion of a nonholonomic system as a system of explicit second order differential equations on the constraint submanifold M. In fact, the Lagrange multipliers are determined univocally as

$$\lambda_\alpha(q,\dot{q}) \;\; = \;\; -C_{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{\partial \mu_i^\beta}{\partial q^j} \dot{q}^i \dot{q}^j + \frac{\partial \mu_0^\beta}{\partial q^i} \dot{q}^i + \mu_i^\beta W^{ij} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{q}^j \partial q^k} \dot{q}^k \right] \right),$$

and given an initial condition on M, $\dot{c}(0) \in M_{c(0)}$, the unique solution of the second order differential equation

$$\ddot{q}^i = W^{ij} \left[\lambda_\alpha(q,\dot{q}) \mu_j^\alpha(q) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{q}^j \partial q^k} \dot{q}^k \right]$$

evolves on the constraint submanifold M, that is, $\dot{c}(t) \in M_{c(t)}$.

3.1.1 Nonholonomic Chaplygin systems

Let us consider a nonholonomic Lagrangian system with symmetry, that is, a nonholonomic Lagrangian system (L, D) where D is a vector subbundle of TQ (for simplicity) and a Lie group action $\Psi : G \times Q \to Q$, such that both L and D are G-invariant with respect to the induced action on TG. Consider the subclass of nonholonomic systems with symmetry corresponding to

$$D_q \oplus T_q \mathrm{Orb}(q) = T_q Q,$$

known as the purely kinematical case, where the symmetry directions complement the constraints given by D. Here $\mathrm{Orb}(q) = \{\bar{q} \in Q \;\big|\; \bar{q} = \Psi(g,q), \text{with } g \in G\}$ is the orbit of $q \in Q$.

In the particular case of Chaplygin systems, these data are given by a principal G-bundle $\pi : Q \to Q/G$, associated with a free and proper action $\Psi : G \times Q \to Q$ such that L is G-invariant and D is determined by the horizontal distribution of a principal connection $\mathcal{A} : TQ \to \mathfrak{g}$. Remember that $\mathcal{A}(\xi_Q(q)) = \xi$, where

$$\xi_Q(q) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Psi(\exp\,(t\xi), q), \text{ with } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$$

and $\mathcal{A}(T\Psi_g(X)) = \mathrm{Ad}_g(\mathcal{A}(X))$, for all $X \in TQ$ where $\Psi_g(q) = \Psi(g,q)$. Observe that in this case

$$D_q = \{v_q \in T_q Q \;\big|\; \mathcal{A}(v_q) = 0\},$$

that is, D_q is the horizontal subspace at q determined by the connection $\mathcal{A}$.

Therefore, for any $v_q \in T_q Q$ we have a unique decomposition

$$v_q = \mathrm{hor}_q v_q + \mathrm{ver}_q v_q \;,$$

where

$$\mathrm{ver}_q v_q = (\mathcal{A}(v_q))_Q(q) \;.$$

Then

$$\mathrm{hor}_q v_q = v_q - (\mathcal{A}(v_q))_Q(q) \in D_q.$$

The projection map $\pi : Q \to Q/G$ induces an isomorphism from D_q to $T_{\pi(q)}(Q/G)$, and the inverse map is called the horizontal lift. Thus for any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(Q/G)$ on the base space, we have a unique vector field X^h (the horizontal lift of X) that is horizontal and π-related to X.

We define the curvature $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ as the Lie algebra valued 2-form defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(X,Y) = -\mathcal{A}[X^h, Y^h], \qquad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(Q/G) \ .$$

Consider a local trivialization $U \times G$ of π where now the action of G is given by left translation on the second factor and U is a neighborhood of Q/G. Take coordinates r^a on U and a basis $\{e_\alpha\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, any element $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ is written as $\xi = \xi^\alpha e_\alpha$. In this local trivialization we can write the connection $\mathcal{A}$ as follows

$$\mathcal{A}(r, g, \dot{r}, \dot{g}) = \mathrm{Ad}_g(g^{-1}\dot{g} + A_a^\alpha \dot{r}^a e_\alpha) \ .$$

Similarly, the coefficients of the curvature are

$$\mathcal{B}_{ab}^\alpha = \frac{\partial A_a^\alpha}{\partial r^b} - \frac{\partial A_b^\alpha}{\partial r^a} - C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha A_b^\beta A_a^\gamma \ ,$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^a}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r^b}\right) = \mathcal{B}_{ab}^\alpha e_\alpha.$$

In this case, the Lagrangian $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ induces a Lagrangian $L^* : T(Q/G) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$L^*(X(\bar{q})) = L(X^h(q)).$$

Locally,

$$L^*(r^a, \dot{r}^a) = l(r^a, \dot{r}^a, -A_a^\alpha \dot{r}^a e_\alpha),$$

where $l : TU \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}$ represents the reduction of $L : T(U \times G) \to \mathbb{R}$ to TQ/G.

After some computations, we can see that the reduced dynamics are given by the following system of equations on $T(Q/G)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L^*}{\partial \dot{r}^a}\right) - \frac{\partial L^*}{\partial r^a} = \Lambda_a,$$

where

$$\Lambda_a = -\left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi^\alpha}\right)_{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{B}_{ab}^\alpha \dot{r}^b$$

and the subindex "$\mathbf{c}$" on the right-hand side indicates that, after computing the derivative of l with respect to ξ^a, one evaluates this partial derivative on $(r^a, \dot{r}^a, -A_a^\alpha \dot{r}^a e_\alpha)$.

Moreover, if L is regular, we have that L^* is also regular and we obtain the following system of second-order differential equations now defined on the full space $T(Q/G)$:

$$\frac{d^2 r^a}{dt^2} = \widehat{W}^{ab}\left(\frac{\partial L^*}{\partial r^b} - \Lambda_b\right) \ , \tag{6}$$

where $(\widehat{W}^{ab})$ is the inverse of the Hessian matrix $\widehat{W}_{ab} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 L^*}{\partial \dot{r}^a \partial \dot{r}^b}\right)$.

3.2 Variational constrained equations

Now we study a dynamical system given by the same pair (L, M) but using purely variational techniques. As above, let us consider a regular Lagrangian $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$, and a set of constraints $\phi^\alpha(q^i, \dot{q}^i) = 0$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq m$ that determine a $2n - m$ dimensional submanifold $M \subset TQ$. Take the extended Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = L + \lambda_\alpha \phi^\alpha$ which includes the Lagrange multipliers λ_α as new extra variables. The equations of motion for the constrained variational problem are the Euler-Lagrange equations for $\mathcal{L}$, that is:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = -\dot{\lambda}_\alpha \frac{\partial \phi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^i} - \lambda_\alpha \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\frac{\partial \phi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\right) - \frac{\partial \phi^\alpha}{\partial q^i}\right], \\ &\phi^\alpha(q^i, \dot{q}^i) = 0, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq m. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Observe that the equations of a variational constrained system are different from the equations of a nonholonomic system given in (5).

3.3 Lagrangian mechanics using the Tulczyjew's triple

The theory of Lagrangian submanifolds gives an intrinsic geometric description of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian dynamics [37], [38]. Moreover, it allows us to relate Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms using as a main tool the so-called Tulczyjew's triple

$$T^*TQ \xleftarrow{\alpha_Q} TT^*Q \xrightarrow{\beta_Q} T^*T^*Q\,.$$

The Tulczyjew map α_Q is an isomorphism between TT^*Q and T^*TQ. Besides, it is also a symplectomorphism between these vector bundles considered as symplectic manifolds, i.e. $(TT^*Q\,,\, \mathrm{d}_T\,\omega_Q)$, where $\mathrm{d}_T\,\omega_Q$ is the tangent lift of ω_Q, and (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}). For completeness, we recall the construction of the symplectomorphism α_Q. To do this, it is necessary to introduce the canonical involution κ_Q on TTQ

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TTQ & \xrightarrow{\kappa_Q} & TTQ \\ {\scriptstyle \tau_{TQ}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle T\tau_Q} \\ TQ & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{Id}]{} & TQ, \end{array}$$

defined by

$$\kappa_Q\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\Big|_{s=0}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\chi\,(s,t)\right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\Big|_{s=0}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\tilde{\chi}\,(s,t)\,,$$

where $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to Q$ and $\tilde{\chi} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to Q$ are related by $\tilde{\chi}\,(s,t) = \chi\,(t,s)$. If $\left(q^i\right)$ are the local coordinates for Q, $\left(q^i, v^i\right)$ for TQ and $\left(q^i, v^i, \dot{q}^i, \dot{v}^i\right)$ for TTQ, then the canonical involution is locally given by $\kappa_Q\left(q^i, v^i, \dot{q}^i, \dot{v}^i\right) = \left(q^i, \dot{q}^i, v^i, \dot{v}^i\right)$.

In order to describe α_Q it is also necessary to define a tangent pairing. Given two manifolds M and N, and a pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : M \times N \to \mathbb{R}$ between them, the tangent pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle^T : TM \times TN \to \mathbb{R}$ is determined by

$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\gamma\,(t)\,, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\delta\,(t)\right\rangle^T = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\langle\gamma\,(t)\,, \delta\,(t)\rangle$$

where $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to M$ and $\delta : \mathbb{R} \to N$.

Finally, we can define α_Q as $\langle \alpha_Q\,(z)\,, w\rangle = \langle z, \kappa_Q\,(w)\rangle^T$, where $z \in TT^*Q$ and $w \in TTQ$. In local coordinates $\left(q^i, p_i\right)$ for T^*Q and $\left(q^i, p_i, \dot{q}^i, \dot{p}_i\right)$ for TT^*Q, we have

$$\alpha_Q\left(q^i, p_i, \dot{q}^i, \dot{p}_i\right) = \left(q^i, \dot{q}^i, \dot{p}_i, p_i\right).$$

The isomorphism $\beta_Q : TT^*Q \to T^*T^*Q$ is just given by $\beta_Q = \flat_{\omega_Q}$, where $\flat_{\omega_Q}$ is the isomorphism defined by ω_Q, that is, $\flat_{\omega_Q}(v) = i_v\omega_Q$.

The Lagrangian dynamics is described by the Lagrangian submanifold $\mathrm{d}L(TQ)$ of T^*TQ where $L\colon TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ is the Lagrangian function, while the Hamiltonian formalism is described by the Lagrangian submanifold $\mathrm{d}H(T^*Q)$ of T^*T^*Q where $H\colon T^*Q \to \mathbb{R}$ is the corresponding Hamiltonian energy. The solutions of the dynamics are curves $\gamma : I \subset \mathbb{R} \to T^*Q$ such that $\frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma}{\mathrm{d}t} : I \subset \mathbb{R} \to TT^*Q$ verifies that $\frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma}{\mathrm{d}t}(I) \subset \alpha_Q^{-1}\left(d\mathrm{L}(TQ)\right)$ in the Lagrangian description and $\frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma}{\mathrm{d}t}(I) \subset \beta_Q^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}H(T^*Q)\right)$ in the Hamiltonian case.

Variationally constrained problems described in Section 3.2 are determined by a pair (M, l) where M is a submanifold of TQ, with inclusion $i_M : M \hookrightarrow TQ$, and $l : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Lagrangian function restricted to M. The submanifold Σ_l is a Lagrangian submanifold of (T^*TQ, ω_Q) (see Theorem 2.5). Now using the Tulczyjew's symplectomorphism α_Q, we induce a new Lagrangian submanifold $\alpha_Q^{-1}(\Sigma_l)$ of $(TT^*Q, \mathrm{d}_T\omega_Q)$, which completely determines the constrained variational dynamics. Now we will see that this procedure gives the correct equations for the constrained variational dynamics. Take an arbitrary extension $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ of $l : M \to \mathbb{R}$, that is, $L \circ i_M = l$. Locally,

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_l &= \{(q^i, \dot{q}^i, \mu_i, \tilde{\mu}_i) \in T^*TQ \mid \mu_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} + \lambda_\alpha \frac{\partial \phi^\alpha}{\partial q^i},\\ &\qquad \tilde{\mu}_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} + \lambda_\alpha \frac{\partial \phi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^i}, \quad \phi^\alpha(q, \dot{q}) = 0, \;\; 1 \leq \alpha \leq m\} \; .\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_Q^{-1}(\Sigma_l) &= \{(q^i, p_i, \dot{q}^i, \dot{p}_i) \in TT^*Q \mid p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} + \lambda_\alpha \frac{\partial \phi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^i},\\ &\qquad \dot{p}_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} + \lambda_\alpha \frac{\partial \phi^\alpha}{\partial q^i}, \; \phi^\alpha(q, \dot{q}) = 0, \;\; 1 \leq \alpha \leq m\} \; .\end{aligned}$$

The solutions for the dynamics given by $\alpha_Q^{-1}(\Sigma_l) \subset TT^*Q$ are curves $\gamma : I \subset \mathbb{R} \to T^*Q$ such that $\frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma}{\mathrm{d}t} : I \subset \mathbb{R} \to TT^*Q$ verifies that $\frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma}{\mathrm{d}t}(I) \subset \alpha_Q^{-1}(\Sigma_l)$. Locally, if $\gamma(t) = (q^i(t), p_i(t))$ then it must verify the following set of differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} + \lambda_\alpha \frac{\partial \phi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} - \lambda_\alpha \frac{\partial \phi^\alpha}{\partial q^i} &= 0,\\ \phi^\alpha(q^i, \dot{q}^i) &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

which coincide with equations (7).

4 The inverse problem of the calculus of variations

In the previous section it is shown that given a regular Lagrangian function $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ we can always associate a unique SODE Γ_L, see equation (3). The inverse problem of the calculus of variations studies when a prescribed SODE Γ is equivalent to the Euler-Lagrange equations for a regular Lagrangian $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$, in the sense of searching a non-singular multiplier matrix $(g_{ij}(q, \dot{q}))$ such that

$$g_{ij}\left(\ddot{q}^j - \Gamma^j(q, \dot{q})\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n = \dim Q \tag{8}$$

has a regular solution L. Note that in the affirmative case we have that $g_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{q}^i \partial \dot{q}^j}$ and the solutions to Γ are exactly the same as the solutions to the Euler-Lagrange equations for L.

Geometrically, condition (8) can be captured into the requirement of the existence of a function $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma \Theta_L = dL$, see (4). When the condition is satisified, the SODE Γ is called *variational*.

The existence of a regular Lagrangian for Γ is equivalent to the existence of multipliers $(g_{ij}(q,\dot{q}))$ satisfying the *Helmholtz conditions* (see [42] for a more general version):

$$\det(g_{ij}) \neq 0, \qquad g_{ji} = g_{ij}, \qquad \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial \dot{q}^k} = \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial \dot{q}^j} \tag{9}$$

$$\Gamma(g_{ij}) - \nabla_j^k g_{ik} - \nabla_i^k g_{kj} = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$g_{ik}\Phi_j^k = g_{jk}\Phi_i^k. \tag{11}$$

where $\Gamma = \dot{q}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \Gamma^i(q,\dot{q})\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i}$, $\nabla_j^i = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \Gamma^i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}$ and $\Phi_j^k = \Gamma\left(\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\right) - 2\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial q^j} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \Gamma^i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^i}$.

The problem is specially difficult since Helmholtz conditions are a mixed set of algebraic equations and partial differential equations (PDE) for the multipliers g_{ij}. There are many characterizations of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations in the literature, but not much is known about the complete solution. For instance, $n = 1$ is always variational [35] and $n = 2$ was solved by Douglas in [16], but for $n > 2$ no complete classification exists. Some partial results exist, more precisely, some cases in Douglas' classification have been generalized to arbitrary n. See for instance [11], [13], [34].

The following characterization of being variational will be very useful in the sequel.

Theorem 4.1. *[10] A SODE Γ on TQ is variational if and only if there exists a 2-form Ω on TQ of maximal rank such that*

(i) $d\Omega = 0$,

(ii) $\Omega(v_1, v_2) = 0\ \forall v_1, v_2 \in V(TQ)$, *where $V(TQ)$ denotes the set of all vertical vector fields for $\tau_Q \colon TQ \to Q$, that is, $V(TQ) = \mathrm{Ker} T\tau_Q$,*

(iii) $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma \Omega = 0$.

4.1 A new geometric characterization for the inverse of the calculus of variations

For a given SODE $\Gamma : TQ \longrightarrow TTQ$ and a local diffeomorphism $F : TQ \longrightarrow T^*Q$ of fibre bundles over Q (that is, $\pi_Q \circ F = \tau_Q$), we define a submanifold $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F} := \mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F}) \subset T^*TQ$, where $\mu_{\Gamma,F} = \alpha_Q \circ TF \circ \Gamma$ is a 1-form on TQ:



Let $(q^i, \dot{q}^i)$ denote fibered coordinates on TQ and we write F and Γ in these coordinates as

$$F\left(q^i, \dot{q}^i\right) = \left(q^i, F_i(q,\dot{q})\right), \quad \Gamma\left(q^i, \dot{q}^i\right) = \left(q^i, \dot{q}^i, \dot{q}^i, \Gamma^i(q,\dot{q})\right).$$

Then the above diagram in coordinates becomes



Note that $\mu_{\Gamma,F}$ is a 1-form on TQ locally given by $\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}\dot{q}^j + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^j\right) dq^i + F_i d\dot{q}^i$. From this last expression it is easy to deduce that

$$\mu_{\Gamma,F} = \mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q. \tag{12}$$

In this section we will show that the inverse problem of the calculus of variations for a SODE Γ is equivalent to see whether or not it is possible to find a local diffeomorphism $F : TQ \longrightarrow T^*Q$ of fibre bundles over Q such that $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F} = \mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}). This characterization will be useful for our approach to the inverse problem for constrained systems.

Observe that since $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ is the image of the 1-form $\mu_{\Gamma,F}$ on TQ, $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}) if and only if $\mu_{\Gamma,F}$ is closed, i.e. $d\mu_{\Gamma,F} = 0$. Therefore, using Poincaré lemma we deduce the local existence of a function L on TQ such that $\mu_{\Gamma,F} = dL$.

Theorem 4.2. *A SODE Γ on TQ is variational if and only if there exists a local diffeomorphism $F : TQ \longrightarrow T^*Q$ of fibre bundles over Q such that $Im(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}).*

Proof. We use the characterization in Theorem 4.1 to prove this result.

$\Leftarrow$ Define $\Omega = -d(F^*\theta_Q)$, where θ_Q denotes the Liouville 1-form on T^*Q in (1). Note that if $F(q^i, \dot{q}^i) = (q^i, F_i(q, \dot{q}))$,then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q &= \mathcal{L}_\Gamma(F_i dq^i) = \Gamma(F_i)dq^i + F_i d\dot{q}^i \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}\dot{q}^j + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^j\right) dq^i + F_i d\dot{q}^i = \mu_{\Gamma,F}. \end{aligned}$$

Then Ω trivially satisfies all the conditions in Theorem 4.1.

$\Rightarrow$ From Theorem 4.1 we have that Γ is variational if and only if there exists a non-degenerate 2-form Ω on TQ satisfying $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma\Omega = 0$, $\Omega(v, w) = 0$ for all $v, w \in V(TQ)$ and $d\Omega = 0$. From the last condition we deduce that locally $\Omega = d\Theta$ on a neighborhood $U \subseteq TQ$, where Θ is a 1-form on U. The restriction of $d\Theta$ to vertical subspaces is zero. Thus the restriction of Θ to each fiber is exact, then there is a function $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Theta(v) = \langle df, v\rangle$ for any $v \in V(TQ)$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\Theta} = \Theta - df$ verifies $\widetilde{\Theta}(v) = 0$ for all $v \in V(TQ)$ and $d\widetilde{\Theta} = \Omega$. Using $\widetilde{\Theta}$ we construct the map $F : U \subseteq TQ \to T^*Q$ as follows:

$$\langle F(v_q), w_q\rangle = \langle\widetilde{\Theta}(v_q), W_q\rangle,$$

where $v_q \in TQ$, $w_q \in TQ$ and $W_q \in TTQ$ satisfies $T\tau_Q(W_q) = w_q$. This definition does not depend on the choice of W_q since $\widetilde{\Theta}$ vanishes on vertical vector fields. Then, it is easy to show that $\widetilde{\Theta} = F^*\theta_Q$ and from equation (12), $\mu_{\Gamma,F} = \mathcal{L}_\Gamma\widetilde{\Theta}$ verifies

$$d\mu_{\Gamma,F} = d\mathcal{L}_\Gamma\widetilde{\Theta} = \mathcal{L}_\Gamma d\widetilde{\Theta} = \mathcal{L}_\Gamma\Omega = 0.$$

Hence $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}). Note that the non-degeneracy of Ω implies that $\det\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\right) \neq 0$ which is precisely the condition for F to be a local diffeomorphism. ■

Observe that the submanifold $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F} \subset T^*TQ$, given in local coordinates by $\left(q^i, \dot{q}^i, \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}\dot{q}^j + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^j, F_i\right)$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}) if and only if there exists a locally defined function $L\colon TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} = F_i \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}\dot{q}^j + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^j.$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} &= \frac{dF_i}{dt}-\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}\dot{q}^j-\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^j\\ &= \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}\dot{q}^j+\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\ddot{q}^j-\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}\dot{q}^j-\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^j\\ &= \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}(\ddot{q}^j-\Gamma^j).\end{aligned}$$

Thus the solutions to Euler-Lagrange equations for L coincide with the solutions to the SODE Γ, since F is a local diffeomorphism, that is, locally the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\right)$ is non-degenerate. Then the multipliers for the Helmholtz conditions are $g_{ij}=\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}$.

Remark 4.3. Since $\alpha_Q : TT^*Q \to T^*TQ$ is a symplectomorphism (see Section 3.3) then we can alternatively characterize the inverse problem of the calculus of variations for a SODE Γ seeing whether the submanifold $S_{\Gamma,F}$ defined by

$$S_{\Gamma,F}=TF(\Gamma(Q))=\alpha_Q^{-1}(\mu_{\Gamma,F}(Q))$$

is a Lagrangian submanifold of the symplectic manifold $(TT^*Q, d_T\omega_Q)$.

Remark 4.4. The submanifold $\Sigma_{F,\Gamma}$ will be Lagrangian if

$$d\left(\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}\dot{q}^j+\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^j\right)dq^i+F_i d\dot{q}^i\right) = 0.$$

Equivalently, we get the following conditions:

$$\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k} = \frac{\partial F_k}{\partial \dot{q}^i}, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial q^k \partial q^j}\dot{q}^j+\frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial q^k \partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^j+\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\frac{\partial \Gamma^j}{\partial q^k} = \frac{\partial^2 F_k}{\partial q^i \partial q^j}\dot{q}^j+\frac{\partial^2 F_k}{\partial q^i \partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^j+\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\frac{\partial \Gamma^j}{\partial q^i}, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial q^i} = \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k \partial q^j}\dot{q}^j+\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^k}+\frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k \partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^j+\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\frac{\partial \Gamma^j}{\partial \dot{q}^k}. \tag{15}$$

In Appendix A detailed computations show the equivalence between the equations (13), (14) and (15) and the Helmholtz conditions (9), (10), (11) for $g_{ij}=\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}$.

Remark 4.5. If we admit that the matrix (g_{ij}) is degenerate, then we get conditions for the existence of a singular Lagrangian L such that

$$g_{ij}(\ddot{q}^j-\Gamma^j)=\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i},$$

which implies that the solutions of the SODE are also solutions to the Euler-Lagrange equations for L.

Example 4.6. Let $Q=\mathbb{R}^2$, Γ be given by $\ddot{x}=f(x,y)$, $\ddot{y}=f(x,y)$, that is, $\Gamma^1=\Gamma^2=f(x,y)$. Then $L=\frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}-\dot{y})^2$ is a singular Lagrangian that gives the dynamics $\ddot{x}=\ddot{y}$, which includes the solutions to Γ, and satisfies

$$g_{ij}(\ddot{q}^j-\Gamma^j)=\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}$$

with $g_{11}=g_{22}=1$ and $g_{12}=g_{21}=-1$. For some choices of $f(x,y)$, the SODE will fall into one of the cases in [16] which do not admit a regular Lagrangian. For instance if we take $f(x,y)=xy$, then, in the notation of [16] (except for the coordinates which we denote as $(t,x,y,\dot{x},\dot{y})$), we get

$$\begin{array}{lllllllll} A &=& -2x, & B &=& (y-x), & C &=& 2y;\\ A_1 &=& -2\dot{x}, & B_1 &=& 2(\dot{y}-\dot{x}), & C_1 &=& 2\dot{y};\\ A_2 &=& -2xy, & B_2 &=& 0, & C_2 &=& 2xy. \end{array}$$

Then the determinant of $\begin{pmatrix} A & B & C \\ A_1 & B_1 & C_1 \\ A_2 & B_2 & C_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is nonzero and the example falls into the nonvariational Case IV of Douglas [16].

4.2 Chaplygin hamiltonization

As we have seen in Section 3.1.1, the equations of motion of a noholonomic Chaplygin system can be reduced to a second-order differential equation on Q/G. Then, we can apply the inverse problem of the calculus of variations in an attempt to find a Lagrangian $L : T(Q/G) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that equations (6) are equivalent to the Euler-Lagrange equations for the Lagrangian L.

Denote by Γ the SODE on $T(Q/G)$ in equations (6). By Theorem 4.2, Γ is equivalent to the Euler-Lagrange equations of a Lagrangian if there exists a fiber diffeomorphism $F : T(Q/G) \to T^*(Q/G)$ such that $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of $(T^*T(Q/G), \omega_{T(Q/G)})$.

Equivalently, in the case of Chaplygin systems we can use the reduced Lagrangian $L^* : T(Q/G) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined in Section 3.1.1 and its associated Legendre transformation

$$Leg_{L^*} : T(Q/G) \to T^*(Q/G) \ .$$

Then we can define the vector field $\widetilde{\Gamma} = (Leg_{L^*})_*\Gamma$ on $T^*(Q/G)$ representing the nonholonomic dynamics, now on the Hamiltonian side. But if there exists a solution $F : T(Q/G) \to T^*(Q/G)$ of the inverse problem of calculus of variations then the vector field $F_*\Gamma$ is locally Hamiltonian. That is, locally there exists a function $\widehat{H} : T^*(Q/G) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$i_{F_*\Gamma}\omega_{Q/G} = d\hat{H}.$$

Therefore, if we consider the diffeomorphism $G : T^*(Q/G) \to T^*(Q/G)$ given by $G = F \circ (Leg_{L^*})^{-1}$ then it is clear by construction that $G_*\widetilde{\Gamma} = F_*\Gamma$ and

$$i_{\widetilde{\Gamma}}\Omega = d\hat{H}, \tag{16}$$

where $\Omega = G^*(\omega_{Q/G})$ and $\hat{H} = H \circ G$. Equation (16) corresponds to the standard notion of hamiltonization of a Chaplygin system [4, 6].



4.3 A new geometric characterization for the time-dependent inverse problem

Now we consider a non-autonomous second order differential system of the form

$$\ddot{q}^j = \Gamma^j(t, q^i, \dot{q}^i). \tag{17}$$

We want to characterize when a regular time-dependent Lagrangian $L(t, q, \dot{q})$ exists such that the solutions of the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations coincide with the solutions of the system (17). Finding a regular Lagrangian is equivalent to finding a multiplier matrix $(g_{ij}(t, q, \dot{q}))$ satisfying the Helmholtz conditions for time-dependent SODE's, which can be written as in the previous section (9), (10), (11), but now $\Gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{q}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \Gamma^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i}$. In [16], Douglas solved this problem for

the two dimensional case. He thoroughly analyzed the Helmholtz conditions using Riquier theory to give a classification of variational and nonvariational SODE's in terms of conditions that depend only on Γ^j and some of its partial derivatives.

Definition 4.7. A vector field Γ on $\mathbb{R}\times TQ$ is a SODE if $\langle\Gamma,\theta^i\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\Gamma, dt\rangle = 1$, where $\theta^i = dq^i - \dot{q}^i dt$ are the usual contact 1-forms. In local coordinates $(t,q,\dot{q})$ for $\mathbb{R}\times TQ$,

$$\Gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{q}^i\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \Gamma^i\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i}.$$

The integral curves of Γ are the ones satisfying the system of explicit second order differential equations $\ddot{q}^i = \Gamma^i(t,q,\dot{q})$.

Remark 4.8. An example of SODE on $\mathbb{R}\times TQ$ is the Euler-Lagrange vector field associated to a regular Lagrangian function $L:\mathbb{R}\times TQ\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$, which is defined as the unique vector field Γ satisfying $i_\Gamma\Omega_L = 0$ and $\langle\Gamma, dt\rangle = 1$, where $\Omega_L = -d\theta_L$ is the Cartan 2-form, $\theta_L = Ldt + dL\circ S$ is the Cartan 1-form and $S = \frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{q}^i}\otimes\theta^i$. Note that (Ω_L, dt) provides $\mathbb{R}\times TQ$ with a cosymplectic structure if L is regular [7].

In [12] an alternative characterization analogous to the one in [10] is given for the time-dependent case:

Theorem 4.9. *[12] A SODE Γ on $\mathbb{R}\times TQ$ is variational if and only if there exists a 2-form Ω on $\mathbb{R}\times TQ$ of maximal rank such that*

(i) $d\Omega = 0$,

(ii) $\Omega(v_1,v_2) = 0\ ,\ \forall v_1, v_2\in V(\mathbb{R}\times TQ)$,

(iii) $i_\Gamma\Omega = 0$.

Consider now the following diagram, where $F:\mathbb{R}\times TQ\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}\times T^*Q$ is a local diffeomorphism over $\mathbb{R}\times Q$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(\mathbb{R}\times TQ) & \xrightarrow{TF} & T(\mathbb{R}\times T^*Q)\cong T\mathbb{R}\times TT^*Q \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle\Gamma} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{\Gamma,F}:=TF\circ\Gamma} & \\ \mathbb{R}\times TQ & \xrightarrow{\quad F\quad} & \mathbb{R}\times T^*Q. \end{array}$$

In local coordinates, if we write $\Gamma(t,q^i,\dot{q}^i) = (t,q^i,\dot{q}^i,1,\dot{q}^i,\Gamma^i(t,q^j,\dot{q}^j))$ and $F(t,q^i,\dot{q}^i) = (t,q^i,F_i(t,q,\dot{q}))$, then we get

$$\gamma_{\Gamma,F}(t,q^i,\dot{q}^i) = \left(t,q^i,F_i(t,q,\dot{q}),1,\dot{q}^i,\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial t} + \dot{q}^j\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j} + \Gamma^j\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial\dot{q}^j}\right).$$

To characterize the variational property of the time-dependent SODE, we need the following definitions. Let f be a function on P, f^c and f^v denote respectively the complete and vertical lift of the function f to TP, see [44]. These lifts are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f^c &= \Delta(f\circ\tau_P), \quad \Delta \text{ is the Liouville vector field,} \\ f^v &= f\circ\tau_P, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_P\colon TP\to P$ is the canonical projection.

Definition 4.10 ([9],[19])**.** Let $(P,\{,\})$ be a Poisson manifold. The tangent Poisson bracket is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\{f^c,g^c\}^T &= \{f,g\}^c,\\ \{f^c,g^v\}^T &= \{f,g\}^v,\\ \{f^v,g^v\}^T &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

If (x^i) denote local coordinates in P and the Poisson bivector is given by

$$\Lambda=\frac{1}{2}\Lambda^{ij}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j},$$

then

$$d_T\Lambda=\Lambda^T:=\Lambda^{ij}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}^j}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial\Lambda^{ij}(x)}{\partial x^k}\dot{x}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{x}^i}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{x}^j}$$

is the Poisson bivector corresponding to the bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}^T$.

Definition 4.11 ([41])**.** Let $(P,\{,\})$ be a Poisson manifold and N be a submanifold of P. Denote by Λ the Poisson bivector and by $\sharp:T^*P\longrightarrow TP$ the induced morphism of vector bundles. The submanifold N is called Lagrangian if

$$\sharp(TN^\circ)=TN\cap\mathcal{C},$$

where TN° is the annihilator of TN and $\mathcal{C}:=\mathrm{Im}(\sharp)$ is the characteristic distribution.

Now we consider the projection $\tilde{\pi}:T^*(\mathbb{R}\times Q)\equiv T^*\mathbb{R}\times T^*Q\to\mathbb{R}\times T^*Q$ given by $\tilde{\pi}=(\pi_{\mathbb{R}},id_{T^*Q})$ that is $\tilde{\pi}(\alpha_t,\beta_q)=(t,\beta_q)$ where $\alpha_t\in T_t^*\mathbb{R}$ and $\beta_q\in T_q^*Q$. We induce a Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{R}\times T^*Q$ such that $\tilde{\pi}$ is a Poisson morphism where we are considering in $T^*(\mathbb{R}\times Q)$ the standard Poisson bracket induced by the symplectic 2-form $\omega_{\mathbb{R}\times Q}$. Locally, in coordinates (t,q^i,p_i) for $\mathbb{R}\times T^*Q$ we have that the induced bracket $\{\ ,\ \}$ is defined by

$$\{t,q^i\}=\{t,p_i\}=\{q^i,q^j\}=\{p_i,p_j\}=0\text{ and }\left\{q^i,p_i\right\}=1\ .$$

Then we take its tangent lift to $T(\mathbb{R}\times T^*Q)$, which is defined on the induced coordinate functions $(t,q,p,v_t,\dot{q},\dot{p})$ by

$$\left\{q^i,\dot{p}_i\right\}^T=1,\ \left\{\dot{q}^i,p_i\right\}^T=1$$

and the remaining Poisson brackets vanish. The variational property of Γ will be characterized in terms of Lagrangian submanifolds for this Poisson structure. To be more precise, $\mathrm{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F})$ must be Lagrangian for some F.

Now we will write the conditions that arise when forcing $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ to be Lagrangian. In local coordinates $(t,q,p,v_t,\dot{q},\dot{p})$ for $T(\mathbb{R}\times T^*Q)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}T(\mathrm{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F})) &= \mathrm{span}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial t}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}+\frac{\partial\Gamma(F_j)}{\partial t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{p}_j},\right.\\ &\qquad\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}+\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial q^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}+\frac{\partial\Gamma(F_j)}{\partial q^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{p}_j},\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{q}^i}+\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial\dot{q}^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}+\frac{\partial\Gamma(F_j)}{\partial\dot{q}^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{p}_j}\right\},\end{aligned}$$

$$T(\mathrm{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F}))^\circ=\mathrm{span}\left\{dv_t,\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}dq^j-dp_i+\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial t}dt+\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial\dot{q}^j}d\dot{q}^j,\frac{\partial\Gamma(F_i)}{\partial q^j}dq^j-d\dot{p}_i+\frac{\partial\Gamma(F_i)}{\partial t}dt+\frac{\partial\Gamma(F_i)}{\partial\dot{q}^j}d\dot{q}^j\right\},$$

and

$$\sharp(T(\mathrm{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F}))^\circ)=\mathrm{span}\left\{\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{p}_j}+\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{q}^i}+\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial\dot{q}^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j},\frac{\partial\Gamma(F_i)}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{p}_j}+\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}+\frac{\partial\Gamma(F_i)}{\partial\dot{q}^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}\right\}.$$

As $\mathcal{C} = \text{span}\{dq^i, dp_i, d\dot{q}^i, d\dot{p}_i\}$, the equality $\sharp(T(\text{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F}))^\circ) = T(\text{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F})) \cap \mathcal{C}$ holds if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^i} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}, \quad \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j} = \frac{\partial \Gamma(F_j)}{\partial \dot{q}^i}, \quad \frac{\partial \Gamma(F_j)}{\partial q^i} = \frac{\partial \Gamma(F_i)}{\partial q^j}, \tag{18}$$

which in more detail read

$$\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^i} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}, \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^i \partial t} + \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial q^i} + \dot{q}^k \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^i \partial q^k} + \frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^k} + \Gamma^k \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^i \partial \dot{q}^k} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}, \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial q^i \partial t} + \dot{q}^k \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial q^i \partial q^k} + \frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial q^i}\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^k} + \Gamma^k \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial q^i \partial \dot{q}^k} = \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial q^j \partial t} + \dot{q}^k \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial q^j \partial q^k} + \frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k} + \Gamma^k \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial q^j \partial \dot{q}^k}. \tag{21}$$

Remark 4.12. Note that the above conditions are the same that arise if we require that the natural projection of $\text{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F}) \subset T(\mathbb{R} \times T^*Q)$ onto TT^*Q be a Lagrangian submanifold for each time coordinate with the symplectic structure $d_T\omega_Q$.

Now we give a characterization of the variational character of a time-dependent SODE in terms of Lagrangian submanifolds of the Poisson manifold $(T(\mathbb{R} \times T^*Q), \{\ ,\ \}^T)$.

Theorem 4.13. *A SODE Γ on $\mathbb{R} \times TQ$ is variational if and only if there is a local diffeomorphism $F : \mathbb{R} \times TQ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times T^*Q$ over $\mathbb{R} \times Q$ such that Im$(\gamma_{\Gamma,F})$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of $(T(\mathbb{R} \times T^*Q), \{,\}^T)$.*

Proof. $\Rightarrow$ If Γ is variational then there is a local regular Lagrangian $L : \mathbb{R} \times TQ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{q}^i \partial \dot{q}^j}(\ddot{q}^j - \Gamma^j) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i},$$

that is,

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{q}^i \partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^j = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial t \partial \dot{q}^i} - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial \dot{q}^i}\dot{q}^j.$$

We can define $F_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}$, then

$$\gamma_{\Gamma,F}(t, q^i, \dot{q}^i) = \left(t, q^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}, 1, \dot{q}^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}\right),$$

whose image is a Lagrangian submanifold of $(T(\mathbb{R} \times T^*Q), \{,\}^T)$.

$\Leftarrow$ Given a local diffeomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} F: & \mathbb{R} \times TQ & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \times T^*Q \\ & (t, q^i, \dot{q}^i) & \longmapsto & (t, q^i, F_i) \end{array}$$

satisfying (19), (20) and (21), we define

$$\Omega = -dF^*\theta_Q - i_\Gamma dF^*\theta_Q \wedge dt = -dF^*\theta_Q + (di_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q - \mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q) \wedge dt,$$

which clearly satisfies $\Omega(v_1, v_2) = 0$ for all $v_1, v_2 \in V(\mathbb{R} \times TQ)$. In local coordinates

$$\Omega = -\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j} dq^j \wedge dq^i - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j} d\dot{q}^j \wedge dq^i + \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}\dot{q}^i - \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial q^i}\dot{q}^i - \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\Gamma^i\right) dq^j \wedge dt + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\dot{q}^i d\dot{q}^j \wedge dt.$$

Computing the exterior derivative of Ω we get

$$d\Omega = -\frac{\partial\Gamma(F_i)}{\partial q^j}dq^j \wedge dq^i \wedge dt - \frac{\partial\Gamma(F_i)}{\partial \dot{q}^j}d\dot{q}^j \wedge dq^i \wedge dt - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}dq^j \wedge d\dot{q}^i \wedge dt - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}d\dot{q}^j \wedge d\dot{q}^i \wedge dt.$$

Conditions (18) on F yield $d\Omega = 0$. It is also readily checked that $i_\Gamma\Omega = 0$. Since F is a local diffeomorphism, that is, $\operatorname{rank}\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\right) = n$, the term $\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}d\dot{q}^j \wedge dq^i$ makes Ω have maximal rank. Thus Ω satisfies all the conditions in Theorem 4.9 and Γ is variational. ■

Remark 4.14. Note that the Cartan 2-form Ω_L (see Remark 4.8) can be alternatively rewritten as $\Omega_L = \omega + dE_L \wedge dt$, with $\omega = -d\left(dL \circ S - (i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}dL \circ S)dt\right)$ and $E_L = \Delta(L) - L$. If we consider the Legendre transformation $Leg_L : \mathbb{R} \times TQ \to \mathbb{R} \times T^*Q$ locally given by

$$Leg_L(t, q, \dot{q}) = (t, q, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i})$$

then $\omega = -d(Leg_L)^*\theta_Q$, $\Delta(L) = i_{\Gamma_L}(Leg_L)^*\theta_Q$ and $dL \wedge dt = \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_L}(Leg_L)^*\theta_Q \wedge dt$. These substitutions motivate the definition of Ω in the proof of Theorem 4.13 above for an F and Γ arbitrary instead of Leg_L and Γ_L. For more on the formulation of time-dependent Lagrangian mechanics see [12, 31] .

Remark 4.15. If we replace the trivial bundle $\mathbb{R}\times Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by an arbitrary fiber bundle $\pi : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then the first jet manifold, denoted by J^1E is the generalization of $\mathbb{R} \times TQ$. The generalization of $\mathbb{R} \times T^*Q$ is $V^*\pi$, the dual bundle of the vertical bundle to π. $V^*\pi$ is also equipped with a Poisson structure that can be lifted to $TV^*\pi$. The picture in this case is as follows:



Now the variationality of Γ could also be studied in terms of $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F})$ being Lagrangian in $(TV^*\pi, \{,\}^T)$ [18].

5 The inverse problem for constrained systems

In this section, we will study the extension of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations to the case of constrained systems. Consider a submanifold M of TQ and a vector field Γ on M verifying the SODE condition, that is,

$$S_x(\Gamma(x)) = \Delta(x), \quad \forall x \in M.$$

Nonholonomic mechanics is an example of this situation, as we will see later.

From now on, we assume that M projects over the whole configuration manifold Q. Inspired by Theorem 4.2 we give the following definition:

Definition 5.1. A SODE Γ on the submanifold M of TQ is variational if there exists an immersion $F : M \to T^*Q$ over Q such that $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F} := \operatorname{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ is an isotropic submanifold of (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}), where $\mu_{\Gamma,F} = \alpha_Q \circ TF \circ \Gamma$.



Assume that M is determined by the constraints

$$\dot{q}^\alpha = \psi^\alpha(q^i, \dot{q}^a), \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq m,$$

so $(q^i, \dot{q}^a)$ are local coordinates on M, $1 \leq a \leq n-m$, $n = \dim Q$. Then the solutions of the SODE Γ are now represented by the following system of differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{q}^a &= \Gamma^a(q^i, \dot{q}^a), \\ \dot{q}^\alpha &= \psi^\alpha(q^i, \dot{q}^a). \end{aligned}$$

For each map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} F: & M & \longrightarrow & T^*Q \\ & (q^i, \dot{q}^a) & \longmapsto & (q^i, F_j(q^i, \dot{q}^a)) \end{array}$$

satisfying that rank $\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\right) = n - m$, the submanifold $\mathrm{Im}(\alpha_Q \circ TF \circ \Gamma) = \mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ is given in coordinates by

$$\left(q^i, \dot{q}^a, \psi^\alpha, \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^a}\dot{q}^a + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^\alpha}\psi^\alpha + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\Gamma^a, F_i\right).$$

We look for an immersion $F : M \to T^*Q$ such that $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ is isotropic in (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}), that is, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$0 = \frac{\partial F_a}{\partial \dot{q}^b} + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^b} - \frac{\partial F_b}{\partial \dot{q}^a} - \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^b}\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}, \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial q^j \partial q^b}\dot{q}^b + \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial q^j \partial q^\beta}\psi^\beta + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^\beta}\frac{\partial \psi^\beta}{\partial q^j} + \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial q^j \partial \dot{q}^b}\Gamma^b + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^b}\frac{\partial \Gamma^b}{\partial q^j} + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial q^i}\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial q^j} \\ & - \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial q^i \partial q^b}\dot{q}^b - \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial q^i \partial q^\beta}\psi^\beta - \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial q^\beta}\frac{\partial \psi^\beta}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial q^i \partial \dot{q}^b}\Gamma^b - \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^b}\frac{\partial \Gamma^b}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial q^i}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a \partial q^b}\dot{q}^b + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^a} + \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a \partial q^\beta}\psi^\beta + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^\beta}\frac{\partial \psi^\beta}{\partial \dot{q}^a} + \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a \partial \dot{q}^b}\Gamma^b + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^b}\frac{\partial \Gamma^b}{\partial \dot{q}^a} \\ & - \frac{\partial F_a}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial q^i}\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a} - \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial q^i}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

We will refer to them as *constrained Helmholtz conditions*.

Now, we will see the relationship between $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ and the dynamics given by the SODE Γ on M. Take the submanifold $\alpha_Q^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})) = TF(\Gamma(M))$ of TT^*Q. Since TT^*Q is a tangent bundle, we have dynamics related to any submanifold. In our case $TF(\Gamma(M))$ is given by

$$\left(q^i, F_i(q^j, \dot{q}^b), \dot{q}^a, \psi^\alpha(q^j, \dot{q}^b), \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^a}\dot{q}^a + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^\alpha}\psi^\alpha + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\Gamma^a\right)$$

in the typical coordinates in TT^*Q. Tangent curves to this submanifold satisfy the following equations

$$\dot{q}^\alpha = \psi^\alpha(q^j, \dot{q}^b) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt}F_i = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^a}\dot{q}^a + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^\alpha}\psi^\alpha + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\Gamma^a.$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\left(\ddot{q}^a - \Gamma^a(q^j, \dot{q}^b)\right) = 0.$$

Since $\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\right)$ is assumed to have maximal rank, we get $\ddot{q}^a = \Gamma^a(q^j, \dot{q}^b)$ and $\dot{q}^\alpha = \psi^\alpha(q^j, \dot{q}^b)$. In this case we have seen that the isotropic submanifold $TF(\Gamma(M)) = \alpha_Q^{-1}(\Sigma_{\Gamma,F})$ on TT^*Q carries the original dynamics defined by the SODE Γ on M.

Now we generalize the characterization of Theorem 4.1 to constrained systems:

Theorem 5.2. *A SODE Γ on M is variational if and only if there exists a 2-form Ω on M satisfying*

(i) $d\Omega = 0$,

(ii) $\Omega(v_1, v_2) = 0$ *for all* $v_1, v_2 \in V(M)$,

(iii) $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma \Omega = 0$,

(iv) $\flat_\Omega|_{V(M)}$ *is injective.*

Proof. $\Rightarrow$ Assume that Γ is variational, that is, there exists an immersion $F : M \longrightarrow T^*Q$ such that $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F} = \mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ is isotropic in (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}). Then we define $\Omega = dF^*\theta_Q \in \bigwedge^2(M)$. We first prove that $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ is isotropic if and only if $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q)$ is Lagrangian in (T^*M, ω_M), that is, $d(\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q) = \mathcal{L}_\Gamma \Omega = 0$. In local coordinates $(q^i, \dot{q}^a)$ on M,

$$\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q = \left(\Gamma(F_i) + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial q^i} F_\alpha\right) dq^i + \left(F_a + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a} F_\alpha\right) d\dot{q}^a.$$

On the other hand, $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ is given by the following set of points of T^*TQ:

$$\left(q^i, \dot{q}^a, \psi^\alpha, \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^a}\dot{q}^a + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^\alpha}\psi^\alpha + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\Gamma^a, F_i\right).$$

If we denote by $i_{\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}} : \Sigma_{\Gamma,F} \longrightarrow T^*TQ$ the inclusion, then

$$i^*_{\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}}\theta_{TQ} = \Gamma(F_i)dq^i + F_a d\dot{q}^a + F_\alpha d\psi^\alpha = \left(\Gamma(F_i) + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial q^i} F_\alpha\right) dq^i + \left(F_a + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a} F_\alpha\right) d\dot{q}^a.$$

Now it is clear that the condition of isotropy, $i^*_{\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}}\omega_{TQ} = 0$, is equivalent to the condition of $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q)$ being Lagrangian in (T^*M, ω_M), in other words, $d\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q = \mathcal{L}_\Gamma \Omega = 0$.

The first two properties in the statement of the Theorem follow directly from the definition of Ω and the last one from F being an immersion. Indeed, $\Omega = \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}\right) dq^j \wedge dq^i + \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\right) d\dot{q}^a \wedge dq^i$, and for any v_1, v_2 in $V(M)$, $i_{v_1}\Omega - i_{v_2}\Omega = (v_1^a - v_2^a)\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\right) dq^i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. As $\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\right)$ has maximal rank, $v_1 = v_2$.

$\Leftarrow$ Now, given a 2-form on M satisfying the conditions in the statement, we construct an immersion that provides an isotropic submanifold $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ of (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}). Since $d\Omega = 0$, locally we can write $\Omega = d\Theta$. Then using the second condition we get that there exists a locally defined function f on M such that $\Theta(v) = df(v)$ for each vertical vector $v \in V(M)$. We can define $\tilde{\Theta} = \Theta - df$ which is a semi-basic 1-form on M, that is, it vanishes on vertical vectors and can be written in coordinates as $\tilde{\Theta} = \mu_i dq^i$, μ_i being functions on M. Moreover $d\tilde{\Theta} = \Omega$. Then we define $F\colon M \to T^*Q$ by

$$\langle F(m), v_q\rangle = \langle \tilde{\Theta}(m), w_m\rangle,$$

where $m \in M$ and w_m is any vector in T_mM satisfying $T_m\tau_Q|_M(w_m) = v_q$. This definition does not depend on the choice of w_m since $\tilde{\Theta}$ vanishes on vertical vectors and it gives $\tilde{\Theta} = F^*\theta_Q$.

Since the 1-form $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q \in \bigwedge^1(M)$ is closed, then $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q)$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of (T^*M, ω_M). Having Proposition 2.5 in mind, we obtain from it a Lagrangian submanifold of (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ})

$$\mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q}) = \{\mu \in T^*TQ \mid i_M^*\mu \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q)\},$$

where $i_M : M \hookrightarrow TQ$ is the canonical inclusion. In coordinates, $\mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q})$ is expressed as

$$\left(q^i, \dot{q}^a, \psi^\alpha, \Gamma(F_i) + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial q^i}F_\alpha - \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial q^i}\tilde{p}_\alpha, F_a + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}F_\alpha - \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\tilde{p}_\alpha, \tilde{p}_\alpha\right).$$

In particular for $\tilde{p}_\alpha = F_\alpha$ we have

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F}) \subset \mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q}).$$

As $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma \Omega = 0$, we get that both $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*}\theta_Q)$ need to be Lagrangian and therefore $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ is isotropic in (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}).

Finally since $\flat_\Omega|_{V(TM)}$ is injective and $d\tilde{\Theta} = \Omega$, $\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\right)$ has maximal rank and F is an immersion. Now we conclude that Γ is variational according to Definition 5.1. ■

Remark 5.3. Note that in the proof above we have described a way to assign to each isotropic submanifold $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ a Lagrangian submanifold that contains it and projects over the constraint submanifold, see Proposition 2.5. From $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma \Omega = 0$ we obtain a locally defined function $l : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q = dl$. Since $\mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*}\theta_Q)$ coincides with $\Sigma_l = \{\mu \in T^*TQ : i^*\mu = dl\} \subset T^*TQ$, the construction from Theorem 2.6, it gives the constrained variational dynamics associated to l (see Section 3.2). Summing up, given a variational SODE Γ on M, we can always find a local Lagrangian l on M such that the solutions of Γ are constrained variational trajectories for l.

Note that in this case we were not adressing the question of finding a Lagrangian $L : TQ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the solutions of the nonholonomic equations for L coincide with the solutions of Γ, but asking when the nonholonomic dynamics can be seen as constrained variational dynamics, see Sections 3.1 and 3.2.

In the next, we will study the problem of how to derive a description of the constrained dynamics in terms of a variational problem without constraints (see [6], [29]). We will need the following Lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *Let P be a smooth manifold, $C \subset P$ a submanifold and γ a section of $T^*P|_C \longrightarrow C$, where $T^*P|_C = \{\mu \in T^*P : \pi_P(\mu) \in C\}$ and $\pi_P : T^*P \longrightarrow P$ denotes the projection over P. If $\gamma(C)$ is isotropic in (T^*P, ω_P), then there is a 1-form $\tilde{\gamma}$ defined in a neighborhood of C such that*

- $\tilde{\gamma}|_C = \gamma$,
- $d\tilde{\gamma} = 0$.

Proof. Take adapted coordinates (x^i, y^a), $i = 1, \ldots, n-m,\ a = 1, \ldots, m$, on P such that C is given by $y^a = 0$ and denote the corresponding momenta coordinates by p_i and $\tilde{p}_a$. Then $\gamma(C)$ is given by

$$\left(x^i, 0, \gamma_i(x), \tilde{\gamma}_a(x)\right),$$

and it projects over C. The isotropy condition gives $\frac{\partial \gamma_i}{\partial x^j} = \frac{\partial \gamma_j}{\partial x^i}$. We want to see $\gamma(C)$ inside some submanifold N of T^*P of dimension $2n - m$ and then apply the construction at the end of Section 2.1 to extend it to a Lagrangian submanifold via the Hamiltonian vector fields corresponding to the constraints defining N. For that we have many options, for instance we can choose among the constraints

$$y^a = 0, \quad p_i - \gamma_i = 0, \quad \tilde{p}_a - \tilde{\gamma}_a = 0$$

and linear combinations of them. If we consider $\phi_a = \tilde{p}_a - \tilde{\gamma}_a$ the Hamiltonian vector field is given by

$$X_{\phi_a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} + \frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}_a}{\partial x^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j},$$

which satisfies $X_{\phi_a}(y_a) = 1$, so it is not tangent to $\gamma(C)$. Extending $\gamma(C)$ along the flows of X_{ϕ_a} we obtain

$$\left(x^i, y^a, \gamma_i(x) + \frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}_a}{\partial x^i} y^a, \tilde{\gamma}_a(x)\right),$$

which is the image of $\tilde{\gamma} = dL$ with $L\colon P \to \mathbb{R}$, $L(x,y) = \tilde{\gamma}_a(x)y^a + f(x)$, not necessarily regular, and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} = \gamma_i$. The existence of such a function f on C is guaranteed by the isotropy condition. ■

Remark 5.5. Note that there are many possible ways to choose the constraints and construct Lagrangians. For instance taking $\phi_a = y^a + \tilde{p}_a - \gamma_a$ we obtain $L = \gamma_a y^a + \gamma_i x^i - \frac{\partial \gamma_a}{\partial x^j}(y^a)^2$. On the other hand, if we take $\phi_a = y^a$ then we obtain a Lagrangian submanifold projecting over M which corresponds to the constrained variational description.

As a consequence of Lemma 5.4, taking $\gamma(C) = \Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ we obtain the following important result.

Theorem 5.6. *If a SODE Γ on M is variational, then there exists a Lagrangian $L : TQ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the integral curves of Γ are the restriction of the solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations of L to M.*

Example 5.7. Let $Q = \mathbb{R}^2$ with coordinates (x,y) and denote fibered coordinates on TQ and T^*TQ by $(x,y,\dot{x},\dot{y})$ and $(x,y,\dot{x},\dot{y},\mu_x,\mu_y,\tilde{\mu}_x,\tilde{\mu}_y)$ respectively. Let $N = \{(x,y,\dot{x},f(x,y,\dot{x}))\} \subset TQ$ be the constraint submanifold and the SODE Γ on N be given by $\ddot{x} = 0$. That is, we have the dynamics given by

$$\ddot{x} = 0, \quad \dot{y} = f(x,y,\dot{x})\ .$$

We define $F : N \longrightarrow T^*Q$ by $F(x,y,\dot{x}) = (x,y,\dot{x}+y,x)$, which is an immersion. Then $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F} \subset T^*TQ$ is locally described by $(x,y,\dot{x},f,f,\dot{x},\dot{x}+y,x)$ and is an isotropic submanifold of dimension 3, for $dx\wedge df + dy\wedge d\dot{x} + d\dot{x}\wedge d(\dot{x}+y) + df\wedge dx = 0$. Note that

$$\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q = \left(f + x\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)dx + \left(\dot{x} + x\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)dy + \left(\dot{x} + y + x\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)d\dot{x}\,.$$

Therefore $\widetilde{\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*}\theta_Q \subset T^*TQ$ is locally described by

$$\left(x,y,\dot{x},f,f + x\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\tilde{\mu}_y, \dot{x} + x\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\tilde{\mu}_y, \dot{x} + y + x\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}\tilde{\mu}_y, \tilde{\mu}_y\right).$$

When $\tilde{\mu}_y = x$, $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ is recovered. Since $d\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q = 0$, we have a local Lagrangian $l : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $l = \frac{\dot{x}^2}{2} + \dot{x}y + xf(x,y,\dot{x})$, satisfying

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial x} = f + x\frac{\partial f}{\partial x},\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial y} = \dot{x} + x\frac{\partial f}{\partial y},\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial \dot{x}} = \dot{x} + y + x\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}.$$

Note that l is the restiction of the singular Lagrangian $L_1 = \frac{\dot{x}^2}{2} + \dot{x}y + x\dot{y}$ to $\dot{y} = f$.

Consider the constraint $\phi = \dot{y} - f + \tilde{\mu}_y - x$ and the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field for the symplectic structure ω_{TQ}:

$$X_\phi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}_y} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}_x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{y}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_x}.$$

If we extend the isotropic submanifold $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ along its flow we obtain the Lagrangian submanifold

$$\left(x,y,\dot{x},\dot{y},\dot{y} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\dot{y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}f, \dot{x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\dot{y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}f, \dot{x} + y + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}\dot{y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}f, x - \dot{y} + f\right),$$

which is the image of dL_2 with $L_2 = x\dot{y} - \frac{\dot{y}^2}{2} + f\dot{y} + \frac{\dot{x}^2}{2} + \dot{x}y - \frac{f^2}{2}$, another extension of l. However, this is a regular Lagrangian since $\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \dot{q}^i \partial \dot{q}^j}\right) = -1 - \dot{y}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \dot{x}^2} + f\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \dot{x}^2}$, which does not vanish in a neighborhood of $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$. It is possible to recover Γ by computing the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations and restricting them to M.

Example 5.8 (Vertical rolling disk)**.** Consider the configuration space $Q = S^1 \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with coordinates (θ, φ, x, y), where θ denotes the angle of rotation, φ the angle between the direction in which the disk moves and the x-axis and (x, y) are the coordinates of the contact point. We consider the Lagrangian $L = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\theta}^2+\dot{\varphi}^2+\dot{x}^2+\dot{y}^2)$ and the constraints given by the condition of rolling without sliding are $\dot{x} = \cos(\varphi)\dot{\theta}$ and $\dot{y} = \sin(\varphi)\dot{\theta}$.

We know that for the rolling disk the nonholonomic equations are

$$\ddot{\theta} = 0,\ \ddot{\varphi} = 0,\ \dot{x} = \cos(\varphi)\dot{\theta},\ \dot{y} = \sin(\varphi)\dot{\theta},$$

and the variational constrained ones are

$$2\ddot{\theta} = \dot{\varphi}(-A\sin(\varphi) + B\cos(\varphi)),\ \ddot{\varphi} = \dot{\theta}(A\sin(\varphi) - B\cos(\varphi)),$$

$$\dot{x} = \cos(\varphi)\dot{\theta},\ \dot{y} = \sin(\varphi)\dot{\theta},$$

where A and B are constants (see [5]). Taking $A = B = 0$ we see that the set of nonholonomic solutions is contained in the set of variational constrained ones. Now consider the constrained Lagrangian $l(\theta, \varphi, x, y, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}) = \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2}$ and define F as the Legendre transformation associated to the following extension $L(\theta, \varphi, x, y, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}, \dot{x}, \dot{y}) = \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2}$, that is,

$$\begin{array}{rccc} F \equiv Leg_L : & M & \longrightarrow & T^*Q \\ & (\theta, \varphi, x, y, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}) & \longmapsto & (\theta, \varphi, x, y, 2\dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}, 0, 0). \end{array}$$

As $\Gamma^1 = \Gamma^2 = 0$, the submanifold $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F} \subset T^*TQ$ can be locally described by

$$\left(\theta, \varphi, x, y, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}, \cos(\varphi)\dot{\theta}, \sin(\varphi)\dot{\theta}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2\dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}, 0, 0\right).$$

It is isotropic and has dimension 6, so we want to choose 2 constraint functions on T^*TQ satisfied by $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ and extend it in the corresponding directions. First we take the constraints

$$\phi_1 = \dot{x} - \cos(\varphi)\dot{\theta} + \tilde{\mu}_x, \quad \phi_2 = \dot{y} - \sin(\varphi)\dot{\theta} + \tilde{\mu}_y,$$

with corresponding Hamiltonian vector fields

$$X_{\phi_1} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}_x} + \cos(\varphi)\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}_\theta} - \sin(\varphi)\dot{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_\varphi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}},$$

$$X_{\phi_2} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}_y} + \sin(\varphi)\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}_\theta} + \cos(\varphi)\dot{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_\varphi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{y}}.$$

Extending $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ along the flows of X_{ϕ_1} and X_{ϕ_2} we obtain the Lagrangian submanifold with local expression

$$\left(\theta, \varphi, x, y, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, 0, \dot{\theta}(\cos(\varphi)\dot{y} - \sin(\varphi)\dot{x}), 0, 0, \dot{\theta} + \cos(\varphi)\dot{x} + \sin(\varphi)\dot{y}, \dot{\varphi}, -\dot{x} + \cos(\varphi)\dot{\theta}, -\dot{y} + \sin(\varphi)\dot{\theta}\right)$$

which is the image of $d\bar{L}$ with $\bar{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\theta}^2 + \dot{\varphi}^2 - \dot{x}^2 - \dot{y}^2) + \dot{\theta}(\cos(\varphi)\dot{x} + \sin(\varphi)\dot{y})$. So we have obtained a regular Lagrangian whose unconstrained trajectories include the nonholonomic trajectories of the first Lagrangian. This is the same Lagrangian as the one obtained in [17].

If we take $\phi_1 = \tilde{\mu}_x, \quad \phi_2 = \tilde{\mu}_y$ then we obtain the Lagrangian submanifold

$$(\theta, \varphi, x, y, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2\dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}, 0, 0)$$

and recover the singular Lagrangian function $L = \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2}$.

For $\phi_1 = \dot{x} - \cos(\varphi)\dot{\theta}, \quad \phi_2 = \dot{y} - \sin(\varphi)\dot{\theta}$ we get the Lagrangian submanifold

$$\Big(\theta, \varphi, x, y, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}, \cos(\varphi)\dot{\theta}, \sin(\varphi)\dot{\theta}, 0, \dot{\theta}(\tilde{\mu}_x \sin(\varphi) - \tilde{\mu}_y \cos(\varphi)), 0, 0,$$

$$2\dot{\theta} - \tilde{\mu}_x \cos(\varphi) - \tilde{\mu}_y \sin(\varphi), \dot{\varphi}, \tilde{\mu}_x, \tilde{\mu}_y\Big),$$

which coincides with $\mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*}\theta_Q)$, for $\frac{\partial \psi^1}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = \cos(\varphi)$ and $\frac{\partial \psi^2}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = \sin(\varphi)$, where $\psi^1 = \cos(\varphi)\dot{\theta}$, $\psi^2 = \sin(\varphi)\dot{\theta}$. Therefore, we obtain the variational constrained equations for the constrained Lagrangian $l : M \to \mathbb{R}$.

Now we find another immersion $F : M \longrightarrow T^*Q$ that makes $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ isotropic. After extending it we get new Lagrangian functions defined on TQ.

We make the following assumptions on the dependence of coordinates of F

$$F_\theta(\dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}) = F_x(\dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}) = F_y(\dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}), \quad F_\varphi(\varphi, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}).$$

Then the only constrained Helmholtz equations (22), (23) and (24) that do not vanish identically are

$$\frac{\partial F_\varphi}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = (1 + \cos(\varphi) + \sin(\varphi)) \frac{\partial F_\theta}{\partial \dot{\varphi}}, \tag{25}$$

$$0 = \dot{\varphi}\frac{\partial^2 F_\varphi}{\partial \dot{\theta} \partial \varphi} + \dot{\theta}(\cos(\varphi) - \sin(\varphi))\frac{\partial F_x}{\partial \dot{\theta}}, \tag{26}$$

$$\frac{\partial F_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\varphi}}\left(\frac{\partial F_\varphi}{\partial \varphi}\dot{\varphi}\right) + \dot{\theta}(\cos(\varphi) - \sin(\varphi))\frac{\partial F_x}{\partial \dot{\varphi}}, \tag{27}$$

and $F_\theta = F_x = F_y = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}$, $F_\varphi = \rho(\dot{\varphi}) - \frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{2\dot{\varphi}^2}(1 + \cos(\varphi) + \sin(\varphi))$ is a solution, where $\rho : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is arbitrary.

Setting $\rho(\dot{\varphi}) = \dot{\varphi}$, define

$$\begin{array}{rccc} F: & M & \longrightarrow & T^*Q \\ & (\theta, \varphi, x, y, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}) & \longmapsto & \left(\theta, \varphi, x, y, \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}, \dot{\varphi} - \frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{2\dot{\varphi}^2}\left(1 + \cos(\varphi) + \sin(\varphi)\right), \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}, \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}\right) \end{array}$$

to get $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ given by

$$\left(\theta, \varphi, x, y, \cos(\varphi)\dot{\theta}, \sin(\varphi)\dot{\theta}, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{\dot{\varphi}}(\sin(\varphi) - \cos(\varphi)), 0, 0, \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}, \dot{\varphi} - \frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{2\dot{\varphi}^2}(1 + \cos(\varphi) + \sin(\varphi)), \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}, \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}\right),$$

which is isotropic of dimension 6 on (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}) .

If we take $\phi_1 = \tilde{\mu}_x - \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}$ and $\phi_2 = \tilde{\mu}_y - \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}$, the corresponding Hamiltonian vector fields are

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\phi_1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}} + \frac{1}{\dot{\varphi}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}_\theta} - \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}_\varphi}, \\ X_{\phi_2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{y}} + \frac{1}{\dot{\varphi}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}_\theta} - \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}_\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Extending $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ along the flows of X_{ϕ_1} and X_{ϕ_2} we get

$$\Big(\theta, \varphi, x, y, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{\dot{\varphi}}(\sin(\varphi) - \cos(\varphi)), 0, 0, \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}(1 - \sin(\varphi) - \cos(\varphi)) + \frac{\dot{x} + \dot{y}}{\dot{\varphi}},$$

$$\dot{\varphi} - \frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{2\dot{\varphi}}(1 - \sin(\varphi) - \cos(\varphi)) - \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}^2}(\dot{x} + \dot{y}), \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}, \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}\Big),$$

which is the image of $d\bar{L}$ for the singular Lagrangian

$$\bar{L} = \frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2} + \frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{\dot{\varphi}}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \cos(\varphi) - \sin(\varphi)\right) + \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}(\dot{x} + \dot{y}).$$

Now we choose constraints $\phi_1 = \dot{x} - \cos(\varphi)\dot{\theta} + \tilde{\mu}_x - \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}$, $\phi_2 = \dot{y} - \sin(\varphi)\dot{\theta} + \tilde{\mu}_y - \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}$ with Hamiltonian vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\phi_1} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\mu}_x} + \left(\cos(\varphi) + \frac{1}{\dot{\varphi}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\mu}_\theta} - \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\mu}_\varphi} - \dot{\theta}\sin(\varphi)\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_\varphi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{x}}, \\ X_{\phi_2} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\mu}_y} + \left(\sin(\varphi) + \frac{1}{\dot{\varphi}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\mu}_\theta} - \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\mu}_\varphi} + \dot{\theta}\cos(\varphi)\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_\varphi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{y}}. \end{aligned}$$

Extending $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ along their flows we obtain

$$\Bigg(\theta, \varphi, x, y, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{\dot{\varphi}}(\sin(\varphi) - \cos(\varphi)) - \dot{x}\dot{\theta}\sin(\varphi) + \dot{\theta}\dot{y}\cos(\varphi), 0, 0,$$

$$\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}}(1 - \cos(\varphi) - \sin(\varphi)) + \dot{x}\cos(\varphi) - \dot{\theta} + \frac{\dot{x} + \dot{y}}{\dot{\varphi}} + \dot{y}\sin(\varphi), \dot{\varphi} - \frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{2\dot{\varphi}^2}(1 - \cos(\varphi) - \sin(\varphi)) - \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}^2}(\dot{x} + \dot{y}),$$

$$\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}} - \dot{x} + \cos(\varphi)\dot{\theta}, \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}} - \dot{y} + \sin(\varphi)\dot{\theta}\Bigg),$$

which is the image of $d\bar{L}$ for

$$\bar{L} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{\varphi}^2 - \dot{\theta}^2 - \dot{x}^2 - \dot{y}^2\right) + \frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{2\dot{\varphi}}(1 - \cos(\varphi) - \sin(\varphi)) + \dot{\theta}\dot{x}\left(\cos(\varphi) + \frac{1}{\dot{\varphi}}\right) + \dot{\theta}\dot{y}\left(\sin(\varphi) + \frac{1}{\dot{\varphi}}\right).$$

As $\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial\dot{q}^i\partial\dot{q}^j}\right) = \frac{1}{\dot{\varphi}^5}\left(-2\dot{\theta}^2(1 - \sin(\varphi) - \cos(\varphi)) - \dot{\theta}^2\dot{\varphi} + 2\dot{\varphi}^3 + \dot{\varphi}^4(1 + \sin(\varphi) + \cos(\varphi))\right)$, observe that this Lagrangian is regular except at a hypersurface of singular points.

Example 5.9 (Nonholonomic particle)**.** Consider the system defined by $Q = \mathbb{R}^3$, $L = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + \dot{z}^2)$ and constraint $\dot{z} = -x\dot{y}$. The nonholonomic SODE is given by $\Gamma^1 = 0, \Gamma^2 = -\frac{x\dot{x}\dot{y}}{1+x^2}$. This SODE is variational as a constrained system as we will see. Indeed, in [6] the authors show that this system can be represented as the restriction of the Euler-Lagrange vector field associated to a Lagrangian defined on the full space TQ. In our framework, we define the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} F: & M & \longrightarrow & T^*Q \\ & (x, y, z, \dot{x}, \dot{y}) & \longmapsto & \left(x, y, z, \dot{x} - \frac{\dot{y}^2}{2\dot{x}^2}\sqrt{1 + x^2}\,(1 + x)\,, \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}\dot{y}}{\dot{x}}, -\frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}\dot{y}}{\dot{x}}\right), \end{array}$$

then $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ is given by

$$\left(x, y, z, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, -x\dot{y}, -\frac{\dot{y}^2}{2\dot{x}}\frac{(1 - x)}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}}, 0, 0, \dot{x} - \frac{\dot{y}^2}{2\dot{x}^2}\sqrt{1 + x^2}\,(1 + x)\,, \frac{\sqrt{1 + x^2}\dot{y}}{\dot{x}}, -\frac{\sqrt{1 + x^2}\dot{y}}{\dot{x}}\right)$$

and is isotropic in (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}). Also $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q = dl$ for

$$l = \frac{\dot{x}^2}{2} + \frac{\dot{y}^2}{2}\frac{\sqrt{1 + x^2}}{\dot{x}}(1 + x).$$

Note that $l \neq L|_M = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2(1 + x^2))$. Since $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F} \subset \Sigma_l$, the solutions of Γ can be seen as constrained variational for l, although not for $L|_M$ (see [17]).

Now we look for a Lagrangian on TQ. Taking $\phi = \tilde{\mu}_z + \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}\dot{y}}{\dot{x}}$ as constraint and extending $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F}$ along the flow of

$$X_\phi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}} - \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}}{\dot{x}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}_y} + \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}\dot{y}}{\dot{x}^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}_x} - \frac{\dot{y}}{\dot{x}}\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_x}$$

we get

$$\left(x, y, z, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z}, -\frac{\dot{y}^2}{2\dot{x}}\frac{(1-x)}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} - \frac{\dot{y}}{\dot{x}}\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}(\dot{z}+x\dot{y}), 0, 0, \dot{x} + \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}}{2\dot{x}^2}\dot{y}^2(x-1),\right.$$

$$\left.\frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}}{\dot{x}}\dot{y}(1-x) - \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}}{\dot{x}}\dot{z}, -\frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}}{\dot{x}}\dot{y}\right),$$

generated by the regular Lagrangian

$$\bar{L} = \frac{\dot{x}^2}{2} + \frac{(1-x)\sqrt{1+x^2}}{2\dot{x}}\dot{y}^2 - \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}}{\dot{x}}\dot{z}\dot{y}.$$

6 The inverse problem for holonomic constraints

A particular case of constrained systems is given by a submanifold M of TQ which is precisely a tangent bundle of a submanifold N of Q, this is the case of **holonomic constraints**. In other words, $M = TN$. In many cases of interest it is useful *to work extrinsically*, that is, on the manifold Q instead of *intrinsically*, that is, on N. As a result, the system on N is described in terms of a system on Q. Assume that TN is locally described by the vanishing of the constraints

$$\psi^\alpha(q^a, q^\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial q^a}\dot{q}^a + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial q^\beta}\dot{q}^\beta = 0, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq m.$$

For simplicity and without loss of generality, we consider the local coordinates on Q adapted to N and the corresponding local coordinates on TQ adapted to TN, so that

$$N = \{(q^a, q^\alpha) \in Q \mid q^\alpha = 0\}, \text{ and } TN = \{(q^a, q^\alpha, \dot{q}^a, \dot{q}^\alpha) \in TQ \mid q^\alpha = 0,\ \dot{q}^\alpha = 0\},$$

where $a = 1, \ldots, n-m$. The SODE Γ on TN is locally described by

$$\Gamma(q^a, \dot{q}^a) = (q^a, \dot{q}^a, \dot{q}^a, \Gamma^a(q^b, \dot{q}^b)).$$

The difference between holonomic dynamics and the nonholonomic one considered in Section 5 is that $M = TN$ does not project over the entire Q. Thus, the notion of variational SODE for constrained systems in Definition 4.1 must be adapted, because if M does not project over the entire Q, $F\colon M \to T^*Q$ might not be an immersion.

Definition 6.1. Let Γ be a SODE along M and assume that $N = \tau_Q(M)$ is a submanifold so that we have the canonical inclusion $\mathrm{i}_{TN}\colon TN \to TQ$. The SODE Γ is variational if there exists a function $F\colon M \to T^*Q$ such that the map $(\mathrm{i}^*_{TN} \circ F)_{|M\cap TN}\colon M \cap TN \to T^*N$ is an immersion and $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F} = \mathrm{Im}(\alpha_Q \circ TF \circ \Gamma)$ is an isotropic submanifold of (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}), where i^*_{TN} is the transpose map of i_{TN} as defined below in (28).

With this adapted notion of a variational SODE for holonomic constraints Theorem 5.2 can be also proved similarly as the proof in Section 5 when M projects onto the entire Q.

Our interest now is to establish a relationship between the inverse problem without constraints when we work intrinsically on TN and the inverse problem with the holonomic constraints, when we work extrinsically on TQ.



Theorem 6.2. *A SODE Γ on TN is variational for the inverse problem of the calculus of variations without constraints if and only if it is variational along the submanifold TN of TQ in the inverse problem for constrained systems.*

Proof. $\Rightarrow$ If Γ is variational for the unconstrained system on TN, then there exists a regular Lagrangian $l\colon TN \to \mathbb{R}$ whose solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations are also integral curves of the SODE Γ and vice-versa. The function $f\colon TN \to T^*N$ in the above diagram is the Legendre transformation of l, that is, $f(q,\dot{q}) = \mathrm{Leg}_l(q,\dot{q}) = (q, \partial l/\partial\dot{q})$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,f})$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of (T^*TN, ω_N).

Let $\mathrm{i}_{TN}\colon TN \to TQ$ be the inclusion and consider an arbitrary fiber function $F : TN \to T^*Q$ such that the following diagram is commutative:



where i^*_{TN} is the transpose map of i_{TN} defined by

$$\langle \mathrm{i}^*_{TN}(p_{\tilde{q}}), v_q \rangle = \langle p_{\tilde{q}}, \mathrm{i}_{TN}(v_q) \rangle, \tag{28}$$

where $p_{\tilde{q}} \in T^*_N Q$, $v_q \in TN$, $(\tau_Q \circ \mathrm{i}_{TN})(v_q) = \pi_Q(p_{\tilde{q}})$, $q \in N$, $\tilde{q} \in Q$, $\pi_N(q) = \tilde{q}$.

Since $l : TN \to \mathbb{R}$ is regular (that is, $\mathrm{Leg}_l : TN \to T^*N$ is a local diffeomorphism), it is easy to deduce that $F : TN \to T^*Q$ is an immersion. In local coordinates, the function F looks like

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F\colon TN & \longrightarrow & T^*Q \\ (q^a, \dot{q}^a) & \longmapsto & \left(q^a, 0, \frac{\partial l}{\partial \dot{q}^a}, F_\alpha(q^b, \dot{q}^b)\right) \end{array}$$

where F_α are arbitrary functions on TN.

The local expression in adapted coordinates of the submanifold $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ of T^*TQ is

$$\left(q^a, 0, \dot{q}^a, 0; \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \dot{q}^a \partial q^b}\dot{q}^b + \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \dot{q}^a \partial \dot{q}^b}\Gamma^b, \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial q^b}\dot{q}^b + \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^b}\Gamma^b, \frac{\partial l}{\partial \dot{q}^a}, F_\alpha\right).$$

This submanifold is isotropic if $(\mu_{\Gamma,F})^*(\omega_{TQ})$ is equal to zero, equivalently,

$$\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \dot{q}^a \partial q^b}\dot{q}^b + \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \dot{q}^a \partial \dot{q}^b}\Gamma^b\right) \wedge \mathrm{d}q^a + \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\right) \wedge \mathrm{d}\dot{q}^a = d^2 l = 0$$

because Γ is the Euler-Lagrange vector field for $l : TN \to \mathbb{R}$, that is, locally

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\right) = \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \dot{q}^a \partial q^b}\dot{q}^b + \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \dot{q}^a \partial \dot{q}^b}\Gamma^b = \frac{\partial l}{\partial q^a}.$$

$\Leftarrow$ Assuming now that Γ is variational for the inverse problem with constraints, then there exists $F\colon TN \to T^*Q$ such that the map $(\mathrm{i}^*_{TN} \circ F)\colon TN \to T^*N$ is an immersion and $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ is isotropic in (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}). Now we find a solution of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations (without

constraints) by taking $f = i^*_{TN} \circ F : TN \to T^*N$. In coordinates, $f(q^a, \dot{q}^a) = (q^a, F_a(q^b, \dot{q}^b))$. Obviously, $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,f})$ is Lagrangian in (T^*TN, ω_{TN}) and f is a local diffeomorphism.

This result can be also proved intrinsically because f and F must make the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TN & \xrightarrow{F} & T^*_N Q \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & \swarrow_{\mathrm{i}^*_{TN}} & \\ T^*N & & \end{array}$$

Note that the diagram is commutative if $F_a = f_a$, but the remaining F_α are arbitrary. It can be easily proved that $f^*\theta_N = F^*\theta_Q$. Then the 2-form characterizing the inverse problem for the calculus of variations, Theorem 3.1, and the one characterizing the inverse problem for the constrained systems, Theorem 4.2, coincide. This concludes the proof. ∎

Let Γ be a SODE on TN which is the Euler-Lagrange vector field corresponding to a regular Lagrangian $l : TN \to \mathbb{R}$. Applying Theorem 6.2 we obtain an isotropic submanifold of (T^*TQ, ω_{TQ}) by simply taking $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ for any map $F : M \to T^*Q$ verifying

$$\mathrm{i}^*_{TN} \circ F = \mathrm{Leg}_l,$$

where $\mathrm{Leg}_l : TN \to T^*N$ is the Legendre transformation associated to $l : TN \to \mathbb{R}$.

Recall that in Section 5 for the case of a submanifold projecting over the entire Q, we saw that a constrained variational SODE could be seen as the restriction of a variational SODE on TQ, Theorem 5.6. In order to do this we just need to find a Lagrangian submanifold projecting over the entire TQ and containing $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ which in this case has the expression

$$\left(q^a, 0, \dot{q}^a, 0; \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \dot{q}^a \partial q^b}\dot{q}^b + \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \dot{q}^a \partial \dot{q}^b}\Gamma^b, \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial q^b}\dot{q}^b + \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^b}\Gamma^b, \frac{\partial l}{\partial \dot{q}^a}, F_\alpha\right).$$

If we take a Lagrangian $L : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $L_{|TN} = l$ and verifying

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^\alpha} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^a \partial \dot{q}^\alpha}\dot{q}^a + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{q}^a \partial \dot{q}^\alpha}\Gamma^a$$

on TN, then we can define $F = \mathrm{Leg}_L\big|_{TN} : TN \to T^*Q$ and get $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F}) \subset dL$.

For instance, in adapted coordinates to TN, we can take any Lagrangian $L\colon TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$L(q, \dot{q}) = l(q^a, \dot{q}^a) + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{q}^\alpha)^2 A_\alpha(q, \dot{q}) + \frac{1}{2}(q^\alpha)^2 B_\alpha(q, \dot{q}),$$

where $A_\alpha, B_\alpha \in C^\infty(TQ)$. Obvioulsy

$$F(q^a, \dot{q}^a) = (q^a, 0, \frac{\partial l}{\partial \dot{q}^a}, 0).$$

Therefore, we conclude that the solutions of the holonomic problem given by l are included in the solutions of L with initial conditions given on TN.

Example 6.3. Planar pendulum of length h with a particle of mass m. In this case $TN = T\mathbb{S}^1$ and $TQ = T\mathbb{R}^2$. The local adapted coordinates are $(q^1, q^2) = (\theta, r - h)$. We consider the SODE Γ on $T\mathbb{S}^1$ coming from the Lagrangian $l\colon T\mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$l(\theta, \dot{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}mh^2\dot{\theta}^2 - mgh\cos\theta\,.$$

In this case $f(\theta,\dot\theta)=(\theta,mh^2\dot\theta)$ and we could take $F(\theta,\dot\theta)=\Big(\theta,0,mh^2\dot\theta,F_2(\theta,0,\dot\theta,0)\Big)$. Proposition 6.2 guarantees that $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{\Gamma,F})$ is isotropic in (T^*TQ,ω_{TQ}). A choice of Lagrangian $L\colon TQ\to\mathbb{R}$ associated with that F is

$$L=\frac{1}{2}mh^2\dot\theta^2-mgh\cos\theta+\frac{1}{2}\dot r^2A(q,\dot q)+\frac{1}{2}(r-h)^2B(q,\dot q)$$

and a regular one is, for instance,

$$L=l+\frac{1}{2}\dot r^2+B(q,\dot q)(r-h)^2.$$

7 Time-dependent inverse problem for constrained systems

Now let us extend time-dependent Helmholtz conditions reviewed in Section 4.3 to constrained systems. Let $M\subset TQ$ be a submanifold projecting over the whole configuration manifold Q, and Γ a SODE on $\mathbb{R}\times M$. If $(t,q^i,\dot q^a)$ denote coordinates on $\mathbb{R}\times M$, $i=1,\dots,n=\dim Q$, $a=1,\dots,m\leq n$, then the solutions of Γ are given by

$$\ddot q^a=\Gamma^a(t,q^j,\dot q^b),\quad \dot q^\alpha=\psi^\alpha(t,q^j,\dot q^b),$$

where $\alpha=1,\dots,n-m$.

As in Section 5 we need to introduce the notion of isotropic submanifolds but now in the Poisson context (see Section 4.3).

Definition 7.1 ([39])**.** Let $(P,\{,\})$ be a Poisson manifold and denote by $\sharp:T^*P\longrightarrow TP$ the morphism of vector bundles induced by the Poisson bivector. Let $N\subset P$ be a submanifold. We say that it is isotropic if

$$\sharp(TN^\circ)\supseteq TN\cap\mathcal{C}.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{C}=\mathrm{Im}(\sharp)$ denotes the characteristic distribution.

Definition 7.2. We say that a SODE Γ on $\mathbb{R}\times M$ is variational if there is an immersion $F:\mathbb{R}\times M\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}\times T^*Q$ over $\mathbb{R}\times Q$ such that $\mathrm{Im}(TF\circ\Gamma)$ is an isotropic submanifold of $(T(\mathbb{R}\times T^*Q),\{,\}^T)$.



We will now impose the isotropy condition on $\mathrm{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F})$ to obtain the time-dependent Helmholtz conditions for constrained systems. In local coordinates $\gamma_{\Gamma,F}$ is given by

$$\gamma_{\Gamma,F}(t,q^i,\dot q^a)=\left(t,q^i,F_i,1,\dot q^a,\psi^\alpha,\Gamma(F_i)=\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial t}+\dot q^a\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^a}+\psi^\alpha\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^\alpha}+\Gamma^a\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial\dot q^a}\right).$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}T(\mathrm{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F}))\cap\mathcal{C} \;=\; \mathrm{span}\Big\{&V_i:=\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}+\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial q^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}+\frac{\partial\psi^\alpha}{\partial q^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot q^\alpha}+\frac{\partial\Gamma(F_j)}{\partial q^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot p_j},\\ &W_a:=\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot q^a}+\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial\dot q^a}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}+\frac{\partial\psi^\alpha}{\partial\dot q^a}\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot q^\alpha}+\frac{\partial\Gamma(F_i)}{\partial\dot q^a}\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot p_i}\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sharp(T\mathrm{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F})^\circ) = \mathrm{span}\left\{A_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i} + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{p}_j} + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_a}, B_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial \Gamma(F_i)}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{p}_j} + \frac{\partial \Gamma(F_i)}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_a},\right.$$
$$\left. C^\alpha := -\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha} + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{p}_j} + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_a}\right\}.$$

Then the equations we obtain by imposing $T(\mathrm{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F})) \cap \mathcal{C} \subset \sharp(TN^\circ)$ are:

$$\frac{\partial F_a}{\partial \dot{q}^b} + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^b} = \frac{\partial F_b}{\partial \dot{q}^a} + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^b}\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}, \tag{29}$$
$$\frac{\partial \Gamma(F_i)}{\partial q^k} + \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial q^k}\frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial q^i} = \frac{\partial \Gamma(F_k)}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial q^i}\frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial q^k}, \tag{30}$$
$$\frac{\partial F_a}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial q^i} = \frac{\partial \Gamma(F_i)}{\partial \dot{q}^a} + \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\frac{\partial \psi^\alpha}{\partial q^i}. \tag{31}$$

Equations (29) and (31) are obtained by imposing that W_a be in $\sharp(T\mathrm{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F})^\circ)$, while (30) and (31) are the conditions that arise when imposing that V_i be in $\sharp(T\mathrm{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F})^\circ)$.

Theorem 7.3. *A SODE Γ on $\mathbb{R}\times M$ is variational if and only if there is a 2-form Ω on $\mathbb{R}\times M$ such that*

(i) $d\Omega = 0$,

(ii) $\Omega(v_1, v_2) = 0$, *for all vertical vectors* $v_1, v_2 \in V(\mathbb{R}\times M)$,

(iii) $i_\Gamma\Omega = 0$,

(iv) $\flat_\Omega|_{V(\mathbb{R}\times M)}$ *is injective.*

Proof. We prove this result using Theorem 4.9.

$\Rightarrow$ If Γ is variational in the sense given in Definition 7.2, then we define a 2-form on $\mathbb{R}\times M$ by

$$\Omega = -dF^*\theta_Q + di_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q \wedge dt - \mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q \wedge dt.$$

Condition (ii) is readily satisfied and condition (iii) can also be checked without making use of the conditions on F:

$$\begin{aligned} i_\Gamma\Omega &= -i_\Gamma dF^*\theta_Q + \overbrace{i_\Gamma(di_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q \wedge dt)}^{-di_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q + (i_\Gamma di_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q)dt} - \overbrace{i_\Gamma(\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q \wedge dt)}^{-\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q + i_\Gamma \mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q\, dt} \\ &= -i_\Gamma dF^*\theta_Q - di_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q + (i_\Gamma di_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q)dt + i_\Gamma dF^*\theta_Q \\ &\quad + di_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q - i_\Gamma(i_\Gamma dF^*\theta_Q + di_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q)dt \\ &= -(i_\Gamma i_\Gamma dF^*\theta_Q)dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Condition (i) is equivalent to $d(\mathcal{L}_\Gamma F^*\theta_Q \wedge dt) = 0$, and this is guaranteed by equations (29), (30) and (31).

Finally condition (iv) is a consequence of F being an immersion. This can be checked using local coordinates as in Theorem 5.2. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= -\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}dq^j \wedge dq^i - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}d\dot{q}^a \wedge dq^i \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial F_a}{\partial q^i}\dot{q}^a + \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial q^i}\psi^\alpha - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^a}\dot{q}^a - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^\alpha}\psi^\alpha - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\Gamma^a\right)dq^i \wedge dt + \left(\frac{\partial F_a}{\partial \dot{q}^b}\dot{q}^a + \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^b}\psi^\alpha\right)d\dot{q}^b \wedge dt \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $i_{v_1}\Omega - i_{v_2}\Omega = -\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}(v_1^a - v_2^a)dq^i + \left(\frac{\partial F_a}{\partial \dot{q}^b}\dot{q}^a + \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^b}\psi^\alpha\right)(v_1^b - v_2^b)dt$ for any v_1, v_2 in $V(\mathbb{R}\times M)$. Since $\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\right)$ is assumed to have maximal rank, $i_{v_1}\Omega = i_{v_2}\Omega$ implies $v_1 = v_2$.

$\Leftarrow$ We proceed as in the proofs of Theorems 4.2 and 5.2 to get a local 1-form $\tilde{\Theta}$ on $\mathbb{R}\times M$ such that $d\tilde{\Theta} = \Omega$ and $\tilde{\Theta}(v) = 0$ for all vertical vector fields v. We define

$$\begin{array}{rccc} F: & \mathbb{R}\times M & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}\times T^*Q \\ & (t, v_q) & \longmapsto & (t, \tilde{F}(t, v_q)) \end{array}$$

by

$$\langle \tilde{F}(t, v_q), w_q\rangle = \langle pr_2 \circ \tilde{\Theta}(t, v_q), W_{v_q}\rangle,$$

where $v_q \in M, w_q \in TQ, W_{v_q} \in TM$ and $T\tau_Q|_M(W_{v_q}) = w_q$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(\mathbb{R}\times M) & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & T^*M \\ \tilde{\Theta}\uparrow & & \\ \mathbb{R}\times M & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}} & T^*Q \end{array}$$

We check that $\mathrm{Im}(\gamma_{\Gamma,F})$ is isotropic using local coordinates. As $\tilde{\Theta}$ vanishes on vertical vectors, we can write

$$\tilde{\Theta} = F_i dq^i + \mu_t dt\,.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega = -d\tilde{\Theta} &= -dF_i \wedge dq^i - d\mu_t \wedge dt \\ &= -\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}dq^j \wedge dq^i - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}d\dot{q}^a \wedge dq^i - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial t}dt \wedge dq^i - \frac{\partial \mu_t}{\partial q^j}dq^j \wedge dt - \frac{\partial \mu_t}{\partial \dot{q}^a}d\dot{q}^a \wedge dt\,. \end{aligned}$$

By imposing the condition $i_\Gamma\Omega = 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mu_t}{\partial \dot{q}^a} &= -\frac{\partial F_b}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\dot{q}^b - \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\psi^\alpha, \\ \frac{\partial \mu_t}{\partial q^i} &= \Gamma(F_i) - \frac{\partial F_a}{\partial q^i}\dot{q}^a - \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial q^i}\psi^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

so we can write

$$\Omega = -dF_i \wedge dq^i - \left[\left(\Gamma(F_j) - \frac{\partial F_a}{\partial q^j}\dot{q}^a - \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial q^j}\psi^\alpha\right)dq^j + \left(-\frac{\partial F_b}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\dot{q}^b - \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\psi^\alpha\right)d\dot{q}^a\right] \wedge dt,$$

and now the closedness of the second factor gives equations (29), (30) and (31) for F.

Finally we see that F is an immersion. Condition (iv) states that

$$0 = i_{v_1}\Omega - i_{v_2}\Omega = -\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}(v_1^a - v_2^a)dq^i - \left(-\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\psi^\alpha - \frac{\partial F_b}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\dot{q}^b\right)(v_1^a - v_2^a)dt$$

is satisfied if and only if $v_1 = v_2$. Since $\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}(v_1^a - v_2^a) = 0$ implies $\left(-\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\psi^\alpha - \frac{\partial F_b}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\dot{q}^b\right)(v_1^a - v_2^a) = 0$, we have that $\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}(v_1^a - v_2^a) = 0$ implies $i_{v_1}\Omega - i_{v_2}\Omega = 0$ and $v_1 = v_2$, that is, $\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^a}\right)$ has maximal rank and F is an immersion.

■

8 Conclusions and future developments

The contributions of this paper include a characterization of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations in terms of special submanifolds in symplectic geometry; precisely, Lagrangian and isotropic submanifolds. Our approximation is flexible enough to take into account systems of second order differential equations with constraints, in particular, nonholonomic systems and their hamiltonization. Moreover, using symplectic techniques, we can prove that if a constrained explicit second order differential equation admits a solution of the inverse problem then it can always be represented by a Lagrangian system without constraints. This last system agrees with the constrained SODE along the submanifold of TQ which gives the constraints (see Theorem 5.6). We adapt our techniques to the case of explicit time-dependent SODE's now using Poisson techniques instead of the symplectic ones.

As we said before, one of the advantages of our approach is the easy adaptability to different cases. In particular, in future work we will study the following extensions:

- The inverse problem for reduced systems; in particular, Euler-Poincaré equations and Lagrange-Poincaré equations. In this case, we need to work with a notion of SODE over more general spaces than tangent bundles (for instance, TQ/G where G is a Lie group acting free and properly on the configuration manifold). To study this problem, we will use the Lie algebroid formalism developed in [14].

- We will carefully study the relationship between our techniques and hamiltonization of nonholonomic systems. This is useful to study invariance properties of the nonholonomic flow (preservation of a volume form, symmetries...).

- Another interesting possibility is to extend our technique, always using Lagrangian and isotropic submanifolds, now for the symplectic cotangent bundle $(T^*Q \times T^*Q, \Omega)$ where $\Omega = pr_2^*\omega_Q - pr_1^*\omega_Q$. This case will be useful to study the inverse problem for discrete systems, that is, when a second-order difference equation can be derived as the flow associated to the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations for a discrete Lagrangian $L_d : Q \times Q \to \mathbb{R}$ (see [28]). Of course, we will have a version for reduced systems using similar techniques to the ones in the previous paragraph [27].

A Appendix

We will establish the equivalence between the equations for $\Sigma_{\Gamma,F} \subset T^*TQ$ to be Lagrangian and the Helmholtz conditions for $g_{ij} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}$.

The equations we obtain by imposing that the submanifold $\Sigma_{F,\Gamma}$ be Lagrangian, that is,

$$d\left(\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}\dot{q}^j + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^j\right)dq^i + F_i d\dot{q}^i\right) \;=\; 0,$$

are the following:

$$\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j} \;=\; \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\,, \tag{32}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial q^j \partial q^k}\dot{q}^k + \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial q^j \partial \dot{q}^k}\Gamma^k + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial q^j} \;=\; \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial q^i \partial q^k}\dot{q}^k + \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial q^i \partial \dot{q}^k}\Gamma^k + \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial q^i}\,, \tag{33}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j \partial q^k}\dot{q}^k + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j} + \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j \partial \dot{q}^k}\Gamma^k + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^j} - \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial q^i} \;=\; 0. \tag{34}$$

Assume F is a local diffeomorphism that satisfies (32), (33) and (34). The first three sets of Helmholtz conditions (9), that is, $det(g_{ij}) \neq 0$, $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$ and $\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial \dot{q}^k} = \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial \dot{q}^j}$, are readily satisfied by $g_{ij} = \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\right)$.

Taking the difference $(34)_{ij} - (34)_{ji} = 0$ we get $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, where $a_{ij} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^j}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(g_{ij}) - \nabla^k_j g_{ik} - \nabla^k_i g_{kj} - (34) &= \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial q^k \partial \dot{q}^j}\dot{q}^k + \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k \partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^k + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^j} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j \partial q^k}\dot{q}^k - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j \partial \dot{q}^k}\Gamma^k - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^j} + \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial q^i} \\ &= -\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^j} + \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial q^i} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^i} = a_{ji} - a_{ij} = 0,\end{aligned}$$

Thus the ∇ condition (10) is satisfied.

Now we check that the Φ condition (11) is satisfied using (33) and the condition $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$. From the latter, we have

$$\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j} = \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial q^i} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^i} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^j}.$$

Substituting this on the left hand side of (33) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&g_{jl}\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 \Gamma^l}{\partial q^k \partial \dot{q}^i}\dot{q}^k + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 \Gamma^l}{\partial \dot{q}^k \partial \dot{q}^i}\Gamma^k - \frac{\partial \Gamma^l}{\partial q^i}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \Gamma^l}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\left(\frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial q^k \partial \dot{q}^l}\dot{q}^k + \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^k \partial \dot{q}^l}\Gamma^k\right) \\ &= g_{il}\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 \Gamma^l}{\partial q^k \partial \dot{q}^j}\dot{q}^k + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 \Gamma^l}{\partial \dot{q}^k \partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^k - \frac{\partial \Gamma^l}{\partial q^j}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \Gamma^l}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\left(\frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial q^k \partial \dot{q}^l}\dot{q}^k + \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k \partial \dot{q}^l}\Gamma^k\right).\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Using (34) and $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ again we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \Gamma^l}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\left(\frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial q^k \partial \dot{q}^l}\dot{q}^k + \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^k \partial \dot{q}^l}\Gamma^k\right) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \Gamma^l}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\left(\frac{\partial F_l}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial q^l} - \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^l}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \Gamma^l}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial F_l}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^j} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^l}\right) = -\frac{1}{4}g_{jk}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^l}\frac{\partial \Gamma^l}{\partial \dot{q}^i} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial \Gamma^l}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\frac{\partial F_l}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^j}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the last term is equal on both sides of (35), that is,

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma^l}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\frac{\partial F_l}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^j} = \frac{\partial \Gamma^l}{\partial \dot{q}^j}\frac{\partial F_l}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^i},$$

we obtain the Φ condition

$$g_{jl}\Phi^l_i = g_{il}\Phi^l_j, \text{ where } \Phi^l_j = \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma^l}{\partial q^k \partial \dot{q}^j}\dot{q}^k + \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma^l}{\partial \dot{q}^k \partial \dot{q}^j}\Gamma^k - 2\frac{\partial \Gamma^l}{\partial q^j} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \Gamma^l}{\partial \dot{q}^r}\frac{\partial \Gamma^r}{\partial \dot{q}^j}.$$

On the other hand, if we assume that the Helmholtz conditions are satisfied by g_{ij} then there exists a local diffeomorphism $F(q, \dot{q}) = (q^i, F_i(q, \dot{q}))$ such that $g_{ij} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^j}$. Then $\Omega = -d(F^*\Theta_Q)$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 4.1. According to [10], Ω is given by

$$\Omega = g_{ij} dq^i \wedge \nu^j,$$

where $\left\{dq^j, \nu^j = d\dot{q}^j - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \Gamma^j}{\partial \dot{q}^k}dq^k\right\}$ is the dual basis to $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}, H_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\right\}$ and H_i is the horizontal lift of $\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$ with respect to the connection defined by Γ. Since

$$\Omega = -d(F_i dq^i) = -\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j}dq^j \wedge dq^i - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\left(\nu^k + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^j}dq^j\right) \wedge dq^i$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(-\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k}{\partial \dot{q}^j} \right)}_{-a_{ij}} dq^j \wedge dq^i + \underbrace{\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \dot{q}^k}}_{g_{ik}} dq^i \wedge \nu^k,$$

we obtain $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ and we can reverse the calculations in the above implication.

Remark A.1. Analogous computations can be carried out for the equations in the time-dependent case, now using the local expression for Ω in [12].

Acknowledgements

This work has been partially supported by MICINN (Spain) MTM2008-00689, MTM2010-21186-C02-01 and MTM2010-21186-C02-02; 2009SGR1338 from the Catalan government; the European project IRSES-project GeoMech-246981 and the ICMAT Severo Ochoa project SEV-2011-0087. MFP has been financially supported by a FPU scholarship from MECD.

References

[1] R. Abraham and J. E. Marsden. *Foundations of mechanics*. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co. Inc. Advanced Book Program, Reading, Mass., 1978. Second edition, revised and enlarged, With the assistance of Tudor Raţiu and Richard Cushman.

[2] I. Anderson and G. Thompson. The inverse problem of the calculus of variations for ordinary differential equations. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 98(473):vi+110, 1992.

[3] I. M. Anderson and T. Duchamp. On the existence of global variational principles. *Amer. J. Math.*, 102(5):781–868, 1980.

[4] P. Balseiro and L. C. García-Naranjo. Gauge transformations, twisted Poisson brackets and Hamiltonization of nonholonomic systems. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 205(1):267–310, 2012.

[5] A. M. Bloch and P. E. Crouch. Nonholonomic and vakonomic control systems on Riemannian manifolds. In *Dynamics and control of mechanical systems (Waterloo, ON, 1992)*, volume 1 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 25–52. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[6] A. M. Bloch, O. E. Fernandez, and T. Mestdag. Hamiltonization of nonholonomic systems and the inverse problem of the calculus of variations. *Rep. Math. Phys.*, 63(2):225–249, 2009.

[7] F. Cantrijn, M. de León, and E. A. Lacomba. Gradient vector fields on cosymplectic manifolds. *J. Phys. A*, 25(1):175–188, 1992.

[8] J. Cortés, M. de León, D. Martín de Diego, and S. Martínez. Geometric description of vakonomic and nonholonomic dynamics. Comparison of solutions. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 41(5):1389–1412, 2002.

[9] T. Courant. Tangent Dirac structures. *J. Phys. A*, 23(22):5153–5168, 1990.

[10] M. Crampin. On the differential geometry of the Euler-Lagrange equations, and the inverse problem of Lagrangian dynamics. *J. Phys. A*, 14(10):2567–2575, 1981.

[11] M. Crampin, G. E. Prince, W. Sarlet, and G. Thompson. The inverse problem of the calculus of variations: separable systems. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 57(3):239–254, 1999.

[12] M. Crampin, G. E. Prince, and G. Thompson. A geometrical version of the Helmholtz conditions in time-dependent Lagrangian dynamics. *J. Phys. A*, 17(7):1437–1447, 1984.

[13] M. Crampin, W. Sarlet, E. Martínez, G. B. Byrnes, and G. E. Prince. Towards a geometrical understanding of Douglas' solution of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations. *Inverse Problems*, 10(2):245–260, 1994.

[14] M. de León, J. C. Marrero, and E. Martínez. Lagrangian submanifolds and dynamics on Lie algebroids. *J. Phys. A*, 38(24):R241–R308, 2005.

[15] M. de León and P. R. Rodrigues. *Methods of differential geometry in analytical mechanics*, volume 158 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1989.

[16] J. Douglas. Solution of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 50:71–128, 1941.

[17] O. E. Fernandez and A. M. Bloch. Equivalence of the dynamics of nonholonomic and variational nonholonomic systems for certain initial data. *J. Phys. A*, 41(34):344005, 20, 2008.

[18] K. Grabowska, J. Grabowski, and P. Urbański. AV-differential geometry: Poisson and Jacobi structures. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 52(4):398–446, 2004.

[19] J. Grabowski and P. Urbański. Tangent lifts of Poisson and related structures. *J. Phys. A*, 28(23):6743–6777, 1995.

[20] J. Grifone and Z. Muzsnay. Sur le problème inverse du calcul des variations: existence de lagrangiens associés à un spray dans le cas isotrope. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 49(4):1387–1421, 1999.

[21] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg. *Geometric asymptotics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977. Mathematical Surveys, No. 14.

[22] M. Henneaux. On the inverse problem of the calculus of variations. *J. Phys. A*, 15(3):L93–L96, 1982.

[23] M. Henneaux. On the inverse problem of the calculus of variations in field theory. *J. Phys. A*, 17(1):75–85, 1984.

[24] L. A. Ibort and J. Marín-Solano. On the inverse problem of the calculus of variations for a class of coupled dynamical systems. *Inverse Problems*, 7(5):713–725, 1991.

[25] O. Krupková and G. E. Prince. Second order ordinary differential equations in jet bundles and the inverse problem of the calculus of variations. In *Handbook of global analysis*, pages 837–904, 1215. Elsevier Sci. B. V., Amsterdam, 2008.

[26] P. Libermann and C.-M. Marle. *Symplectic geometry and analytical mechanics*, volume 35 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987. Translated from the French by Bertram Eugene Schwarzbach.

[27] J. C. Marrero, D. Martín de Diego, and E. Martínez. Discrete Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanics on Lie groupoids. *Nonlinearity*, 19(6):1313–1348, 2006.

[28] J. E. Marsden and M. West. Discrete mechanics and variational integrators. *Acta Numer.*, 10:357–514, 2001.

[29] T. Mestdag and M. Crampin. Nonholonomic systems as restricted Euler-Lagrange systems. *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.*, 15(2):78–89, 2010.

[30] G. Morandi, C. Ferrario, G. Lo Vecchio, G. Marmo, and C. Rubano. The inverse problem in the calculus of variations and the geometry of the tangent bundle. *Phys. Rep.*, 188(3-4):147–284, 1990.

[31] M. F. Rañada. Time-dependent Lagrangian systems: a geometric approach using semibasic forms. *J. Phys. A*, 23(15):3475–3482, 1990.

[32] O. Rossi and J. Musilová. On the inverse variational problem in nonholonomic mechanics. *Commun. Math.*, 20(1):41–62, 2012.

[33] W. Sarlet. The Helmholtz conditions revisited. A new approach to the inverse problem of Lagrangian dynamics. *J. Phys. A*, 15(5):1503–1517, 1982.

[34] W. Sarlet, M. Crampin, and E. Martínez. The integrability conditions in the inverse problem of the calculus of variations for second-order ordinary differential equations. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 54(3):233–273, 1998.

[35] N.J. Sonin. About determining maximal and minimal properties of plane curves. *Warsawskye Universitetskye Izvestiya*, 1-2:1–68, 1886.

[36] F. Takens. A global version of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations. *J. Differential Geom.*, 14(4):543–562 (1981), 1979.

[37] W. M. Tulczyjew. Les sous-variétés lagrangiennes et la dynamique hamiltonienne. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 283(1):Ai, A15–A18, 1976.

[38] W. M. Tulczyjew. Les sous-variétés lagrangiennes et la dynamique lagrangienne. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 283(8):Av, A675–A678, 1976.

[39] K. Uchino. Lagrangian calculus on Dirac manifolds. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 57(3):803–825, 2005.

[40] I. Vaisman. *Symplectic geometry and secondary characteristic classes*, volume 72 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1987.

[41] I. Vaisman. *Lectures on symplectic and Poisson geometry*. Textos de Matemática. Série B [Texts in Mathematics. Series B], 23. Universidade de Coimbra Departamento de Matemática, Coimbra, 2000. Notes edited by Fani Petalidou.

[42] H. von Helmholtz. Ueber die physikalische bedeutung des prinicips der kleinsten wirkung. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 100:137–166, 1887.

[43] A. Weinstein. Symplectic manifolds and their Lagrangian submanifolds. *Advances in Math.*, 6:329–346, 1971.

[44] K. Yano and S. Ishihara. *Tangent and cotangent bundles: differential geometry*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973. Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 16.